

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

B E F O R E T H E R E G I S T R A R K B A B U

I A 5/2017, in Civil Appeal No(s). 1537/2016

R. JANKIAMMAL

Appellant(s)

V E R S U S

S. K. KUMARASWAMY (D) THR. LRS. & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ON IA 5/2017 and IA No.100625/2017-PERMISSION TO FILE
ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS

W I T H

C.A. No. 1538/2016 (XII)

(FOR

FOR ON IA 5/2017

FOR [BRINGING ON RECORD LRS] ON IA 90850/2018)

Date : 29-10-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr Varun Singh, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta, AOR
Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, AOR
Ms Rashmi Nandakumar, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr N.M.Varghese, Adv.
Mr. K. V. Mohan, AOR
Ms Ruhini Dey, Adv.
Ms Yamunah Nachiar, AOR
Mr. S. Ravi Shankar, AOR
Mrs. Prabha Swami, AOR
Ms. Shobha Ramamoorthy, AOR
Mr. Vikas Mehta, AORU P O N h e a r i n g t h e c o u n s e l t h e C o u r t m a d e t h e f o l l o w i n g
O R D E R

Ld. Counsel for the appellant has not filed affidavit of dasti service in respect of respondent nos. 12(i) and 12(ii) in C.A. No. 1537/2016. Ld. Counsel, Mr Varun Singh appearing on behalf of Mr Vikas Mehta, Advocate-on-record submitted that respondent nos. 12(i) and 12(ii) have refused to accept the notice and he will be filing an affidavit to that effect.

Item No.7

ld. Counsel may take recourse to the Second Proviso of Rule 3 of Order LIII, Supreme Court Rules, 2013 which specifically defines steps to be taken where dasti service is refused by the party else fresh steps be taken by the ld. counsel for the appellant in respect of respondent nos. 12(i) and 12(ii).

List again on 10.12.2018.

K BABU
Registrar