

**SECTION XII**  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 13979 AND 13980 OF 2015**

N. Sivakumar & Ors. ...Petitioners  
versus  
Bhavani Devi & Ors. ...Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matter i.e. Civil Appeal No. 13979 of 2015 @ Special Leave Petition(C) No. 16269 of 2012 above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2012, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"At the request of learned senior counsel for the petitioners, the name of respondent No. 8 - N. Vijayakumar is deleted from the array of parties.**

**Amended cause-title shall be filed within two weeks from today.**

**Issue notice returnable in ten weeks.**

**Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., advocate waives service for respondent Nos. 1 to 7.**

**Counter-affidavit may be filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 7 within six weeks.**

**Rejoinder-affidavit, if any, may be filed by the petitioners within two weeks thereafter.**

**In the meanwhile, execution of the impugned judgment and decree shall remain stayed."**

Thereafter, the matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 27<sup>th</sup> November, 2012, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

**"Leave granted.**

**Stay granted vide earlier order be continued.**

**Hearing expedited."**

...2/-

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 13979 OF 2015**

It is submitted that there are 8 respondents. Mr. V. Subramaninan T.R., Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of respondent No. 1, but name of respondent No. 1 has not been mentioned correctly in vakalatnama/appearance, Further, Mr. Vinodh Kanna B, Advocate waives service for respondent Nos. 1 to 7 (please refer to Hon'ble Court's Order dated 24<sup>th</sup> September, 2012), but has not filed vakalatnama/appearance on their behalf so far. Notice of Lodgement of Petition of Appeal in the matter could not be issued so far.

Service is not complete.

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 13980 OF 2015**

It is submitted that Mr. Mr. V. Subramaninan T.R., Counsel for the appellant has not so far cured the defects of application for substitution to being on record legal representatives of deceased respondent No. 5.

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 14<sup>th</sup> September, 2016, when the following order was passed:

**"The office report indicates that the learned counsel for the appellant has filed an application for substitution to bring on record the Lrs. of the deceased respondent No. 5 which is defective. The learned counsel shall within a period of four weeks rectify the defects whatever have been found in the said application.**

**List again on 25.10.2016."**

It is submitted that there are 12 respondents. Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate has filed vakalatnama/appearance on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and 6. Resopondent Nos. 7 to 11 are served at SLP stage, but no one has entered appearance on their behalf so far.

Service of notice is not complete on respondent No. 5.

The matters above mentioned are listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with this office report.

Dated this, the 24<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2016.

COPY TO: Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate.  
Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., Advocate.  
Mr. V. Subramanian T.R, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

ac