

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.13546/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 20/11/2000 in CWP 13592/98
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

MAHESH MITTER & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With Appln(s). for substitution of L.Rs of the deceased respondent
Nos.46 and 70 and c/delay in filing substitution appln. and
with prayer for interim relief and Office Report)

Date : 10/02/2003 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVARAJ V. PATIL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARIJIT PASAYAT

For Petitioner (s) Mr. R.K. Rathore, Addl. Adv. General
Mr. R.S. Suri, Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Dinesh Verma, Adv.
Ms. Suresh Kumari, Adv.
for Mr. A.P. Mohanty, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....R
.SP2

Delay in filing substitution application is condoned.
Substitution allowed.
Leave granted.
The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

(A.S. BISHT)
COURT MASTER

(SHELLY SENGUPTA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

..L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. OF 2003@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE
(Arising out of SLP(C) No.13546 of 2001)

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

APPELLANT(S)

:VERSUS:

MAHESH MITTER & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R@@
EEEEEEEEEE

..L....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J...R

.SP2

Delay in filing substitution application is condoned.
Substitution allowed.
Leave granted.

The learned counsel for the appellants pointed out that
the controversy raised in this appeal stands covered by the
judgment of this Court in the State of Haryana vs. Haryana@@

Veterinary & AHTS Association & anr. [2000 (8) SCC 4]. The@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute this
aspect. In this view, this appeal is also allowed in terms of
the judgment aforementioned.

.SP1

.....J
(SHIVARAJ V. PATIL)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

.....J
(ARIJIT PASAYAT)@@
BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

New Delhi;

February 10, 2003.