

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.Nos. 1-36 in &
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(Civil)...CC 6698-6699/2001

(From the judgement and order dated 30/03/1994 & 06/04/94 in SN
529/92 of The HIGH COURT OF CALCUTTA)

AMRIT OBEROI & ORS.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

PRAFULLA CHANDRA JENA & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(Appln(s). for permission to file SLP & with prayer for interim
relief & Office Report)

Date : 15/10/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. KHARE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner (s) Mr. D.K. Garg,Adv. for
Mr. R.C. Kaushik,Adv.

For Respondent (s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.
Permission to file SLP is allowed.

The order under challenge is of interlocutory nature. Moreover, there is enormous delay in filing the Special Leave petitions inasmuch as the petitioners have a remedy of filing an application before the trial court for modification or vacation of the order. Learned counsel states that the record of case is not traceable and in case such an application is filed, the same is not likely to be heard by the Court. We feel that apprehension is not justified. However, in case such an application is filed by the petitioners, the Court shall make available the record of the case. We request the Court to hear and dispose of the case expeditiously preferably within a period of six months.

With these observations, the special leave petitions are dismissed.

.SP1

(Neelam Kawatra)
Court Master

(S. Krishnan)
Court Master