

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.4

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s).3149/2009

K.VISHWANATHAN AND ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 403/2012 In C.A. No. 3149/2009

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 253/2011 In C.A. No. 3149/2009

Date : 31-01-2018 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Mahalakshmi Pavani, Sr. Adv.

Mr. G. Balaji, AOR

Mr. Dhiraj Kumar, Adv.

Mrs. Rani Chhabra, AOR

Ms. Priyanka Sony, Adv.

Ms. Vijaya Lakshmi, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mrs. Rani Chhabra, AOR

Ms. Priyanka Sony, Adv.

Ms. Vijaya Lakshmi, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Ms. Anu Gupta, AOR

Mr. Rajnish Prasad, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants that all her clients have superannuated except about 20 of them. She further

states that as far as she is aware, all the respondents have also superannuated.

Mrs. Rani Chhabra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the contesting private respondents will take instructions in this regard.

It is further stated by learned counsel for the appellants that three original applications were filed on the same subject, challenging the same seniority list in the Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai, Bengaluru and Chennai. She says that the Central Administrative Tribunal in Mumbai and Bengaluru decided in favour of the appellants and those decisions have become final.

The Central Administrative Tribunal in Chennai has decided against the appellants and, therefore, the appellants challenged the decision of the Central Administrative Tribunal at Chennai in the High Court.

The judgment impugned in this appeal arises out of the dismissal of the writ petition by the High Court upholding the view of the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chennai.

List the matter after two weeks to enable learned counsel for the private contesting respondents to take instructions.

(SANJAY KUMAR-I)  
AR-CUM-PS

(KAILASH CHANDER)  
COURT MASTER