

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 220 OF 2013

NEW ERA D. ED COLLEGE Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDU. & ANR. Respondent(s)

(With application for directions and office report)

WITH
W.P(C) NO. 275 of 2013
[SRI VENKATA SAI RAM ELEMENTARY TEACHER V. RD. NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION & ANR.]
(With application for directions, permission to file additional documents
and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 276 of 2013
[VAMSADHARA TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE V. RD NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATION & ANR.]
(With application for directions, permission to file additional documents
and office report)

SLP(C) No. 9418 of 2013
[NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION V. NEW ERA EDUCATION SOCIETY]
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 19/06/2013 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
[VACATION BENCH]

For Petitioner(s)
in WP 220 Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Adv.

In WP 275 & 276 Mr. S. Nanda Kumar, Adv.
Mr. R. Satish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

In SLP 9418 Mr. Arjun Harkauli, Adv.(NP)

For Respondent(s)
For NCTE Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

For RR in SLP 9418 Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

WP(C) No. 220 of 2013
In this writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a writ of mandamus to the
NCTE to process the application of the petitioner for the grant of recognition
in Diploma in Education Course from the academic session 2013-2014 instead of
2014-2015.
We find that the subject matter of grant of recognition for D.Ed. Course for
the academic session 2013-2014 is already before this court in SLP(C) No. 9418
of 2013. Hence, whatever orders are to be passed by this Court will be passed

in the special Leave Petition and the filing of this writ petition was not at all necessary.

The Writ Petition, accordingly, stands disposed of.

SLP(C) No. 9418 of 2013

List this matter on 27th June, 2013.

WP(C) Nos. 275 and 276 of 2013

The Writ Petitions are disposed of in terms of separate signed orders.

[KALYANI GUPTA]
COURT MASTER

| | [SHARDA KAPOOR]
| | COURT MASTER

[TWO SEPARATE SIGNED ORDERS IN WP) 275 AND 276 OF 2013
ARE PLACED ON THE FILE.]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 275 of 2013

SRI VENKATA SAI RAM ELEMENTARY TEACHER
EDUCATION INSTITUTION

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR, NATIONAL COUNCIL
FOR TEACHER EDUCATION, SOUTHERN
REGIONAL COMMITTEE & ANR.

.....

RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners applied in time for recognition to the National Council for Teacher Education [for short 'the NCTE'] for Diploma in Education Course and has been granted recognition for the aforesaid Course for the academic session 2014-2015 by the NCTE by order dated 4th May, 2013.

3. The petitioners' case is that the recognition should have been granted for the academic session 2013-2014. We find that the reason why the NCTE granted recognition for the academic session 2014-2015 and not for the academic session 2013-2014 is that the cut off date for the grant of recognition for the academic session 2013-2014 as fixed in the judgment of this Court in Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya v. State of U.P. & Ors., had expired on 3rd March, 2013.

4. Hence, we dispose of this writ petition in terms of our order dated 10th May, 2013 in Writ Petition) No.221 of 2013 titled M.R.Bharti College of Education v. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr. and direct that the recognition granted by the NCTE by formal order dated 4th May, 2013 will apply for the academic sessions 2013-2014 and 2014-2015.

5. We make it clear that this order has been passed in the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case and will not be treated as a precedent by the High Court to deviate from the time schedule fixed in the aforesaid judgment in Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya(supra).

.....J

[A.K. PATNAIK]

.....J
[RANJAN GOGOI]

NEW DELHI
June 19, 2013.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 276 of 2013

VAMSADHARA TEACHER TRAINING COLLEGE PETITIONER

VERSUS

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION,
SOUTHERN REGIONAL COMMITTEE & ANR. RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners applied in time for recognition to the National Council for Teacher Education [for short 'the NCTE'] for Diploma in Elementary Education Course and has been granted recognition for the aforesaid Course for the academic session 2014-2015 by the NCTE by order dated 4th May, 2013.

3. The petitioners' case is that the recognition should have been granted for the academic session 2013-2014. We find that the reason why the NCTE granted recognition for the academic session 2014-2015 and not for the academic session 2013-2014 is that the cut off date

for the grant of recognition for the academic session 2013-2014 as fixed in the judgment of this Court in Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya v. State of U.P. & Ors., had expired on 3rd March, 2013.

4. Hence, we dispose of this writ petition in terms of our order dated 10th May, 2013 in Writ Petition) No.221 of 2013 titled M.R.Bharti College of Education v. National Council for Teacher Education & Anr. and direct that the recognition granted by the NCTE by formal order dated 4th May, 2013 will apply for the academic sessions 2013-2014 and 2014-2015.

5. We make it clear that this order has been passed in the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case and will not be treated as a precedent by the High Court to deviate from the time schedule fixed in the aforesaid judgment in Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya(supra).

.....J
[A.K. PATNAIK]

.....J
[RANJAN GOGOI]

NEW DELHI
June 19, 2013.