

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A.NOS. 1 3 & 1 4 IN CIVI L A P P E A L NO(s). 9 3 0 OF 2 0 0 2

MANG T E (DEA D) B Y LR S & ORS

Appellant (s)

VE R S U S

BA D R U D D I N & ORS

Respondent(s)

(Appln. for substitution to bring leval heir of appellant No.2(i)
and appln. for c/delay in filing substitution appln. & with Office Report
dated.2 7 . 1 0 . 2 0 0 7)

Date: 2 2 / 1 1 / 2 0 0 7 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORA M :

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E H.K. S E M A (IN CHAM B E R S)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Shiv P r a k a s h P a ndey, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Ku m a r Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mohan P a ndey, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Ku m a r Garg, Adv.

Mr. S.K. Verma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay of 15 4 4 days in filing the application for substitution in regard to
appellant No. 2(i) has not been properly explained. Application for condonation
of
delay is rejected. Consequently, the application for substitution in regard to
appellant No.2(i) has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.
The appeal in respect of appellant No. 2(i) stands abated.

(S. Thapar)
P S to Registrar
The signed order is placed on the file.

(Usha Shar m a)
Court Master

IN TH E SU P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
CIVI L A P P E L L A A T E JUR I S D I C T I O N

I.A. NOS. 1 3 AND 1 4

IN

CIVI L A P P E A L NO. 9 3 0 OF 2 0 0 2

MANG T E (DEA D) & OTH E R S
L A N T
(S)

AP P E L

VER SUS

BA D R U D D I N & O T H E R S
R E S P O N D E N T (S)

OR D E R

Delay of 15 4 4 days in filing the application for substitution in regard to appellant No. 2(i) has not been properly explained. Application for condonation of delay is rejected. Consequently, the application for substitution in regard to appellant No.2(i) has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

The appeal in respect of appellant No. 2(i) stands abated.

..... J
(H.K. SE M A)

New Delhi,
November 22, 20 0 7