

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.NOS. 13 & 14 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 930 OF 2002

MANGTE (DEAD) BY LRS & ORS

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

BADRUDDIN & ORS

Respondent(s)

(Appln. for substitution to bring leval heir of appellant No.2(i) and appln. for c/delay in filing substitution appln. & with Office Report dated.27.10.2007)

Date: 22/11/2007 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA (IN CHAMBERS)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Shiv Prakash Pandey,Adv.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mohan Pandey,Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
ORDER

Delay of 1544 days in filing the application for substitution in regard to appellant No. 2(i) has not been properly explained. Application for condonation of delay is rejected. Consequently, the application for substitution in regard to appellant No.2(i) has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

The appeal in respect of appellant No. 2(i) stands abated.

(S. Thapar)  
PS to Registrar

(Usha Sharma)  
Court Master

The signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLAATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NOS. 13 AND 14

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 930 OF 2002

MANGTE (DEAD) & OTHERS  
(S)

APPELLANT

VERSUS

BADRUDDIN & OTHERS  
RESPONDENT (S)

ORDER

Delay of 1544 days in filing the application for substitution in regard to appellant No. 2(i) has not been properly explained. Application for condonation of delay is rejected. Consequently, the application for substitution in regard to appellant No.2(i) has become infructuous and is dismissed as such.

The appeal in respect of appellant No. 2(i) stands abated.

.....J  
(H.K. SEMA)

New Delhi,  
November 22, 2007