

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11340/2003

(From the judgement and order dated 31/03/2003 in CWJC No. 2826/1995(R) of
The HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI)

OM PRAKASH SAXENA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MGT. OF MODERN BAKERIES(INDIA) LTD.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 18/07/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K. BALASUBRAMANYAN

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma,Adv.

Mr.Akhilesh Baijal, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv.

Mr. Mohad. Halim Siddique, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Gaurav Banerjee, Sr. adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Adv.

Mr. Sameer Parekh, Adv.

Mr. Rohit Alex, Adv.

for M/S P.H. Parekh And Co.,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In response to the order dated 4.4.2005, the parties h

ave exchanged offers of settlement.

Our attention is invited to the letter dated 10.6.2005 written by respondent to the

petitioner which is marked 'without prejudice'. Respondent has offered to pay an amount of

Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs) in full and final settlement of the petitioner's claims reserving their

contentions. However, learned counsel for the respondent-management makes a very reasonable

submission that the respondent has no objection to revising their offer to Rs.2,65,000/- (Rupees Two

Lakhs Sixty Five Thousand only). We appreciate the stand so taken. We are

also of the opinion that the amount so offered during the course of proceedings in this Court seems to

be a very fair offer and the learned counsel for the petitioner has also no serious objection to accepting

the same. The special leave petition be treated as disposed of in terms of the direction that at the claim

of the petitioner against the respondent employer shall stand fully and finally satisfied on payment of

Rs.2,65,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Sixty Five Thousand only) to the petitioner. It shall be done within

six weeks.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the offer made by the respondent is only

with a view to give quietus to the present litigation and may not be treated as a precedent. We take the

said statement on record.

(Ajay Kr. Jain)
Anand Singh)

Court Master
rt Master

(
Cou

