

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 441 OF 2011

B.R.PRADHAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

WITH W.P(C) NO. 105 of 2012

(With appln. for stay)

W.P(C) NO. 209 of 2012

(With appln. for permission to file lengthy list of dates and seeking permission to file additional documents and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 30 of 2012

(With office report)

W.P(C) NO. 446 of 2011

(With appln(s) for stay)

W.P(C) NO. 450 of 2011

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 452 of 2011

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 453 of 2011

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

W.P(C) NO. 485 of 2011

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 02/11/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL R. DAVE

For Petitioner(s)

WP 441, 450

Mr. Gaurav Pachnanda, Sr. Adv.

452, 453, 446, 485

Mr. Mishra Saurabh, Adv.

WP 105

Mr. E.C. Vidya Sagar

WP 209

Mr. Gautam Narayan, Adv.

Ms. Asmita Singh, Adv.

Mr. Shivendra Singh, Adv.

: 2 :

WP 30

Mr. Akshat Shrivastava, Adv.

Ms. Manjeet Kirpal, Adv.

Mr. Inderjeet Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Pooja Shrivastava, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Atul Jha, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Jha, Adv.

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee ,Adv

Mr. Apurv Kurup, Adv.

Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

Ms. Sakshi Kakkar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Writ Petitions are disposed of in terms of signed order.

| (Pardeep Kumar)
| Court Master

| | (Sanjay Kumar)
| | Court Master

|

[SEPARATE SIGNED ORDERS IN W.P. (C) Nos. 441, 450, 452, 453, 446, 485
of 2011 and 30, 105, 209 OF 2012 ARE PLACED ON THE FILE]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 441 OF 2011

| B.R. PRADHAN

| ... | PETITIONER(s) |

| Versus |

| STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANOTHER

| ... | RESPONDENT(s) |

O R D E R

Some of the judicial officers, who are situated similarly to the petitioner, have filed separate Writ Petitions before the Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2056 of 2012 - Anthres Toppo vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2057 of 2012 - Amrit Lal Dahariya vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others.

2. Having regard to above, we find no justification to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. Since pleadings in this matter are complete, learned counsel for the parties submit that no further pleadings shall be necessary. We direct the Registry to prepare a record of the Writ Petition, counter-affidavits filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and rejoinder-affidavits filed by the petitioner and transmit the same to the Chhatisgarh High Court. The above record, on receipt, shall be registered as a Writ Petition by that court and shall be heard, accordingly, along with the above referred cases.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 2, 2012.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 450 OF 2011

|VIJENDRA NATH PANDEY |...| PETITIONER(s) |

| Versus |

|STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANOTHER |...| RESPONDENT(s) |

O R D E R

Some of the judicial officers, who are situated similarly to the petitioner, have filed separate Writ Petitions before the Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others,

Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2056 of 2012 - Anthres Toppo vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2057 of 2012 - Amrit Lal Dahariya vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others.

: 2 :

2. Having regard to above, we find no justification to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. Since pleadings in this matter are complete, learned counsel for the parties submit that no further pleadings shall be necessary. We direct the Registry to prepare a record of the Writ Petition, counter-affidavits filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and rejoinder-affidavits filed by the petitioner and transmit the same to the Chhatisgarh High Court. The above record, on receipt, shall be registered as a Writ Petition by that court and shall be heard, accordingly, along with the above referred cases.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 2, 2012.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 453 OF 2011

RAVI SHANKAR SAI	...	PETITIONER(s)	
	Versus		
STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANOTHER	...	RESPONDENT(s)	

O R D E R

Some of the judicial officers, who are situated similarly to the petitioner, have filed separate Writ Petitions before the Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2056 of 2012 - Anthres Toppo vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2057 of 2012 - Amrit Lal Dahariya vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others.

: 2 :

2. Having regard to above, we find no justification to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. Since pleadings in this matter are complete, learned counsel for the parties submit that no further pleadings shall be necessary. We direct the Registry to prepare a record of the Writ Petition, counter-affidavits filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and rejoinder-affidavits filed by the petitioner and transmit the same to the Chhatisgarh High Court. The above record, on receipt, shall be registered as a Writ Petition by that court and shall be heard, accordingly, along with the above referred cases.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 2, 2012.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 446 OF 2011

Versus

STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANOTHER

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Some of the judicial officers, who are situated similarly to the petitioner, have filed separate Writ Petitions before the Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2056 of 2012 - Anthres Toppo vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2057 of 2012 - Amrit Lal Dahariya vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others.

: 2 :

2. Having regard to above, we find no justification to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. Since pleadings in this matter are complete, learned counsel for the parties submit that no further pleadings shall be necessary. We direct the Registry to prepare a record of the Writ Petition, counter-affidavit filed on behalf of respondent No 2 and rejoinder-affidavit filed by the petitioner and transmit the same to the Chhatisgarh High Court. The above record, on receipt, shall be registered as a Writ Petition by that court and shall be heard, accordingly, along with the above referred cases.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 2, 2012.

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 485 OF 2011

| ARUN KUMAR PRADHAN | ... | PETITIONER(S) |

| Versus |

| STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANOTHER | ... | RESPONDENT(S) |

O R D E R

Some of the judicial officers, who are situated similarly to the petitioner, have filed separate Writ Petitions before the Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2056 of 2012 - Anthres Toppo vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2057 of 2012 - Amrit Lal Dahariya vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others.

: 2 :

2. Having regard to above, we find no justification to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. Since pleadings in this matter are complete, learned counsel for the parties submit that no further pleadings shall be necessary. We direct the Registry to prepare a record of the Writ Petition, counter-affidavits filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and rejoinder-affidavit filed by the petitioner and transmit the same to the Chhatisgarh High Court. The above record, on receipt, shall be registered as a Writ Petition by that court and shall be heard, accordingly, along with the above referred cases.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 2, 2012.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 30 OF 2012

|RESHAMLAL KURRE |...| PETITIONER(S) |
| Versus |
|STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANOTHER |...| RESPONDENT(S) |

O R D E R

Some of the judicial officers, who are situated similarly to the petitioner, have filed separate Writ Petitions before the Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2056 of 2012 - Anthres Toppo vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2057 of 2012 - Amrit Lal Dahariya vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others.

: 2 :

2. Having regard to above, we find no justification to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. Since pleadings in this matter are complete, learned counsel for the parties submit that no further pleadings shall be necessary. We direct the Registry to prepare a record of the Writ Petition, counter-affidavits filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and rejoinder-affidavit filed by the petitioner and transmit the same to the Chhatisgarh High Court. The above record, on receipt, shall be registered as a Writ Petition by that court and shall be heard, accordingly, along with the above referred cases.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 105 OF 2012

SANJAY SHENDRE	...	PETITIONER(S)	
	Versus		
STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANOTHER	...	RESPONDENT(S)	

O R D E R

Some of the judicial officers, who are situated similarly to the petitioner, have filed separate Writ Petitions before the Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2056 of 2012 - Anthres Toppo vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2057 of 2012 - Amrit Lal Dahariya vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others.

: 2 :

2. Having regard to above, we find no justification to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. Since pleadings in this matter are complete, learned counsel for the parties submit that no further pleadings shall be necessary. We direct the Registry to prepare a record of the Writ Petition, counter-affidavits filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and transmit the same to the Chhatisgarh High Court. The above record, on receipt, shall be registered as a Writ Petition by that court and shall be heard, accordingly, along with the above referred cases.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 2, 2012.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 209 OF 2012

FIRU LAL UNJAN	...	PETITIONER(S)	
	Versus		
STATE OF CHHATISGARH & ANOTHER	...	RESPONDENT(S)	

O R D E R

Some of the judicial officers, who are situated similarly to the petitioner, have filed separate Writ Petitions before the Chhatisgarh High Court. These Writ Petitions are Writ Petition (S) Nos. 5513 of 2011 - Narsingh Usendi vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4154 of 2011 - Tularam Churendra vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 4155 of 2011 - Mansukh Kerketa vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2055 of 2012 - Vijay Bhushan Singh vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2056 of 2012 - Anthres Toppo vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others, 2057 of 2012 - Amrit Lal Dahariya vs. The High Court of Chhatisgarh and others.

: 2 :

2. Having regard to above, we find no justification to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 32 of the Constitution. Since pleadings in this matter are complete, learned counsel for the parties submit that no further pleadings shall be necessary. We direct the Registry to prepare a record of the Writ Petition, counter-affidavits filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and transmit the same to the Chhatisgarh High Court. The above record, on receipt, shall be registered as a Writ Petition by that court and shall be heard, accordingly, along with the above referred cases.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(ANIL R. DAVE)

NEW DELHI
NOVEMBER 2, 2012.