ä'ITEM No.18 Court No. 7 SECTION X
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(Civil) No.390/1999

A.I.C.C.OF TRADE UNIONS & ANR. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. Respondent (s)

(With Office Report)

Date : 04/12/2000 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.P. MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DORAISWAMY RAJU

For Petitioner (s) Mr. R. Venkatramani, Sr. Adv.
Ms. V. Vijayalakshmi, Adv.
Mr. Satya Mitra Garg,Adv.

For Respondent (s) M.Ex. Joseph, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhay N. Dass, Adv.
Mr. S.S. Sabharwal, Adv.
Mr. M.M. Kashyap,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T....J
.SP2
The writ petition is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

Sarita (V.P. Tyagi) @@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
COURT MASTER@@
A AAAAAAAAAAAA
@@
A

(Signed order is placed on the file)

.PA

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (C)NO. 390 OF 1990@@
EEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEE

A.I.C.C. OF TRADE UNIONS & ANR. ...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

O R D E R@@
CCCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has filed a writ petition in this Court seeking direction to the respondents to frame a scheme ensuring adequate means of livelihood, living wages, conditions of work ensuring a decent standard of life and full enjoyment of leisure and social and cultural opportunities towards all the members of the petitioner No.2 Association. According to the petitioner, there exists a scheme of financial assistance to voluntary associations to set up family counselling centres and reference is made to the terms and conditions for sanction of grant in the said scheme which is annexed as Annexure-P4 to the petition. When the matter was taken up earlier, this Court passed an Order in which six weeks' time was granted to the petitioner to submit a representation to the Government in the light of the letter of the Minister of Human Resources Development India dated 20th December, 1996. We are informed, such application has already been made in October, 1999 and since then no order has been passed.

According to the learned counsel appearing for respondent No.1, the submission is, grants are given to the institution, which depends on the financial resources at a particular time. However, we do not find it proper to enter into the area of distribution or to what extent the financial assistance could be granted to the petitioners. Only grievance of the petitioner is that institutions similarly situated as the petitioner the grant is being given hence on the same parity, the same should be considered far the petitioner's. We leave the matter for adjudication to the respondent authority. At best, at this juncture, we feel it appropriate to direct the respondent No.2 to dispose of the said representation filed in October, 1999 within a period of four months from the date a certified copy of this Order is filed alongwith another copy of the aforesaid application already filed. In case such a representation is filed within a period of four months from today, the same should be disposed of by respondent No.2, as aforesaid within the said period with a reasoned order.

With the said observation, the writ petition is finally disposed of.

.SP1

.....J.
(A.P. MISRA)

New Delhi, ...J.
December 4, 2000. (DORAISWAMY RAJU)