

ITEM NO.11

COURT NO.3

SECTION IV-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 11895/2025

SUBHASH CHAND & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

Respondent(s)

[ONLY IA NO. 291240/25 IN D NO. 6244/25 IS LISTED]

WITH

Diary No(s). 6244/2025 (III-A)

IA No. 291240/2025 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS

Date : 21-11-2025 This appln.was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. K. Parameshwar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mandeep Kalra, AOR
Ms. Radhika Narula, Adv.
Ms. Anushna Satapathy, Adv.
Ms. Chitragada Singh, Adv.
Ms. Radhika Jalan, Adv.
Ms. Widaphi Lyngdoh, Adv.
Mr. Yashas J, Adv.
Ms. Gauri Rajput, Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Paras Mohan Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Shefali Tripathi, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, AOR
Mr. Siddhant Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Bahuguna, Adv.

Ms. Nupur Kumar, AOR

Miss Geetanjali Mohan, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

IA No. 291240/2025 in Diary No. 6244/2025

The applicant(s)/petitioners are primary teachers in private schools having qualifications of B.Ed. and the Bridge course. They stake a claim for selection in pursuance of the notification/advertisement dated 07.11.2025 issued for posts of Assistant Teacher (Primary) under the Elementary Education Department at various districts in the State of Uttarakhand. However, the apprehension of the applicant(s)/petitioner(s) is that their applications may not be considered in light of the order passed by this Court in the case of *Devesh Sharma vs. Union of India*¹

As per Mr. K. Parameshwar, learned senior counsel appearing for the applicant(s)/petitioner(s), the category to which the applicant(s)/petitioner(s) belong, i.e., B.Ed. as well as Bridge course qualified, has not been non-suited in any of the earlier judgments/orders passed by this Court.

Accordingly, the present application has been moved by the applicant(s)/petitioners(s) seeking liberty to appear in the recruitment process sought to be undertaken in the State of Uttarakhand.

Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, learned counsel appearing for the respondent(s)/State of Uttarakhand, has vehemently objected to the prayer made on behalf of the applicant(s)/petitioner(s).

Having considered the submissions advanced at the Bar and in order to balance the equities while the qualifications of the applicant(s)/petitioner(s) are still under consideration and to ensure that they are not deprived of the opportunity to participate in the selection process, we hereby grant the petitioner provisional permission to appear in the recruitment process (supra). However, it is made clear that this order shall not create any equities in favour of the petitioner(s) and the fate of the applicant(s)/petitioner(s) in the subject recruitment process shall remain subject to outcome of this special leave petition.

I.A. No. 291240/2025 stands disposed of.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)
ASST. REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILEY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR