

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR RAJESH KUMAR GOEL

Civil Appeal No(s). 687-688/2013

ABDUL SUBHAN SAB @ PYARE SAB (D) BY LRS. &amp; ORS. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

CHIDANANDA &amp; ORS. Respondent(s)

Date : 12-12-2018 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr. B. Vishwanath Bhandarkar, Adv.  
Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR  
Mr. Karunakar Mahalik, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. M. A. Chinnasamy, AOR  
Mr. N.D. B. Raju, Adv.  
Mr. C. Rubavathi, Adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The office report indicates that Respondent No.4 has refused to accept the service of dasti notice sent to him. This refusal on his part constitutes not proper service.

Ld. Counsel for appellants submits that earlier another counsel appearing for respondent No.4 and he has already filed the vakalatnama. Therefore, there is no need of fresh service on respondent No.4. Registry to verify the same and prepare appropriate report.

List again on 12.2.2019.

RAJESH KUMAR GOEL  
Registrar