

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

C I V I L A P P E A L N O (s) . 9 1 4 O F 2 0 0 1

HADI HUSSAIN & ORS.

Appellant (s)

VE R S U S

ABDUL HAMD CHOUDHARY & ANR.

Respondent(s)

Date: 30 / 08 / 2007 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LOKESHWAR SINGHPANTA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Upamanyu Hazarika , Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

Ms. Dhritri Phookan, Adv.

Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Sneha Kalita, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Having heard the learned counsel for the appellant at

length, we see no reason to interfere with the well merited judgment of
the High Court. The appeal is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

(PAWAN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(ANAND SINGH)
COURT MASTER

(signed order is placed on the file)
I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

C I V I L A P P E L L A T E J U R I S D I C T I O N

C I V I L A P P E A L N O . 9 1 4 O F 2 0 0 1

HADI HUSSAIN & ORS.

... APPELLANTS

VE R S U S

ABDUL HAMD CHOUDHARY & ANR.
RESPONDENTS

...

O R D E R

Having heard the learned counsel for the appellant at

length, we see no reason to interfere with the well merited judgment of
the High Court. The appeal is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

J.

.....
(H.K. S E M A)

..... J.
(LO K E S H W A R S I N G H P A N T A)

N E W D E L H I ,
AUGUS T 3 0 , 2 0 0 7 .