

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Civil Appeal No(s). 6528/2026
@Diary No. 11821-2026

TAMILNAD MERCANTILE BANK LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RADHAKRISHNAN DHARMARAJAN

Respondent(s)

1. Delay condoned.
2. Having regard to the fact that the approval of the resolution plan is not under question and in paragraph 9 of the impugned order, the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal ("NCLAT") has left it open for the appellant to agitate its grievances regarding payment of a lesser amount than what he was entitled to while pressing I.A. No. 2034/2024, which is pending consideration before the adjudicating authority, we do not find any error in the impugned order.

3. The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

..... J
[MANOJ MISRA]

..... J
[MANMOHAN]

New Delhi;
April 24, 2026

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 6528/2026

Diary No. 11821-2026

TAMILNAD MERCANTILE BANK LTD.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

RADHAKRISHNAN DHARMARAJAN

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 118488/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REILING / CURING THE DEFECTS

IA No. 118489/2026 - STAY APPLICATION

Date : 24-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. K. S. Mahadevan, Adv.
Ms. Swati Bansal, Adv.
Mr. R. Rangarajan, Adv.
Mr. Aravind Gopinathan, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order placed on the file.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(CHETAN ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)