

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 2963/2013

GOVT.OF A.P.TR.PRINL.SEC.&amp; ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PRATAP KARAN &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln.(s) for amendment of cause title and correction and urging additional grounds and amendment of cause title and impleadment and declaration and substitution and c/delay in filing substitution application and impleadment and office report)  
WITH

C.A. No. 2964/2013

(With appln.(s) for permission to urge additional groundes and directions and substitution and c/delay in filing substitution application and declaration and office report)

Date : 16/09/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Appellant(s)

CA 2963/2013

Mr. V. Giri, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. K. Ramakrishna Reddy,AG-State of Telangana  
Mr. T. V. Ratnam,Adv.  
Mr. Mohan Rao, Adv.  
Mr. Manav Vohra, Adv.

CA 2964/2013

Mr. Dushyant A. Dave, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. K. Ramakrishna Reddi, Advocate General  
Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar,Adv.  
Ms. Praseena Elizabeth Joseph, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

I.A.No.7/15 in

CA 2963/2013

Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Sr.Adv. (Not present)  
Mr. Abid Ali Beeran P,Adv.  
Mr. J. Govardhan Reddy, Adv.

CA 2963/2013

Mr. Vikas Singh, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Harin P. Raval, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Vedula Venkatramanna, Sr.Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Adv.

Digitally signed by

Sukhbir Paul Kaur

Date: 2015.09.16

Mr. Sahil Monga, Adv.

17:33:38 IST

Reason:

Mr. Kapish Seth, Adv.  
Mr. E.V.S. Venugopal, adv.  
Mr. Anirudh Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Nipun Saxena, Adv.

-2-

CA 2964/2013

Mr. Harin P. Raval, Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Adv.  
Mr. Govind Manoharan, Adv.  
Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, Adv.  
Ms. Shruti Iyer, Adv.  
Ms. Suchitra Kumbhat, Adv.

M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associates, Adv.

I.A.12/2015 in  
CA 2963/2013

Mr. Prakash Chakravarthy, Adv.  
Mr. P.S. Bindra, Adv.  
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.

Mr. Ananga Bhattacharya, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties at length.  
Hearing concluded.  
Judgment reserved.

Learned counsel appearing for the parties requested  
for two weeks' time to file written submissions. Time, as  
prayed for, is granted.

Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
applicant in the impleadment application.

The impleadment application is rejected.

[INDU POKHRIYAL]  
COURT MASTER

[SANJAY KUMAR-II]  
COURT MASTER

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]  
A.R.-CUM-P.S.