

**SECTION XII**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIAL APPEAL Nos. 7390-7391 & 7405-7406 of 2009

N. Sankaranarayanan etc. etc. ..Appellants  
Versus

Chairman, T.Nadu Housing Board & Ors.  
etc. etc. ..Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

**CIVIAL APPEAL NOS. 7390-7391 of 2009**

The matters above mentioned have been filed against the Judgment and Order dated 4<sup>th</sup> March, 2008 of the High Court Judicature at Madras in Writ Appeal No. 1499 of 2005 and Writ Petition No. 5718 of 2005

It is submitted that there are six respondents. Respondent No. 1 is served at special leave petition stage. Respondent Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 is represented through Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Mrs. Reavathy Raghavan, Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Mr. S. Thanajayan and Ms. N. Shobha, Advocates respectively.

Service of notice is complete.

Original record has been returned to the High Court as per circular dated 4<sup>th</sup> December, 2014.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellant has filed statement of case, but counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 6 have not filed statement of case and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

**CIVIAL APPEAL NOS. 7405-7406 of 2009**

These are appeals by special leave filed against the judgment and Order dated 4<sup>th</sup> March, 2008 of the High Court of Judicature at Madras in Writ Petition Nos. 5718 and 1499 of 2005.

It is submitted that there are six common respondents in both the matters. Common Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4 in both the matters are represented through M/s. B. Balaji, Prabha Swami and S. Thananjayan, Advocates respectively. In respect of common Respondent Nos. 3, 5 and 6 in both the matters have been served by the Registry at SLP stage, but no one has entered appearance so far.

Service of notice is complete.

It is further submitted that counsel for the appellant and counsel for respondent No. 2 have filed statement of cases, but counsel for the respondent Nos. 1, 3 & 4 have not filed statement of cases and in terms of Order XIX Rule 32(2) Supreme Court Rules, 2013, and its proviso, where a party does not file statement of case within the time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

The matters above mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court for pre-final hearing with this office report.

Dated this, the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2015

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO: Mrs. Prabha Swami, Advocate  
Mrs. Revathy Raghavan, Advocate.  
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Advocate.  
Mr. S. Thananjayan, Advocate.  
Ms. N. Shobha, Advocate.  
Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate.  
Mr. B. Balaji, Advocate  
M/s. AP & J. Chambers, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR