

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 3925/2013

BRIJINDER SINGH

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A. No. 3926/2013

C.A. No. 3927/2013

C.A. No. 3928/2013

(With Office Report)

Date : 21/04/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr.Pardeep Dahiya,adv.
Mr. S. K. Sabharwal,Adv.Mr. Surya Kant,Adv.
Ms. S. Janani,Adv.
Ms.Manu Mridul,av.
Mr.Brij Bhushan,adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Murari Lal,adv.
Dr. Krishan Singh Chauhan,Adv.
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta,Adv.
Ms. S. Janani,Adv.Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal,Adv.
Ms.Geeta Singh,av.
Dr.Monika Gusain,adv.UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In all the matters numbered above, the Id.counsel for the appellant and the Id.counsel for the respondents have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do

so by letter dated 08.09.2014 of this Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any further statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, these matters shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB