

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.11558/2000

(From the judgement and order dated 13/06/2000 in CWP 7767/00
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

HARVINDER SINGH Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

M/S. PUNJAB COMMUNICATION LTD. & ANR. Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

With

SLP(C)No.16195/2000

Date : 11/12/2000 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner (s) Mr. M.N. Krishnamani, Sr. Adv.
M/s K.P. Singh, S.L. Gupta, Sanjay,
Dharam Bir Raj Vohra,Advs.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Satish Luthra, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Jain, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

Leave granted. The appeals are allowed.

.SP1
Charanjit [Meena Trikha]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7203/2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
(Arising out of SLP(C) 11558/2000)

Harvinder Singh .. Appellant

Vs.

M/s Punjab Communication Ltd. & Anr. .. Respondents

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7205/2000@@
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC
(Arising out of SLP(C) 16195/2000)

O R D E R@@
AAAAAAAAA

.....L.....I.....J
.SP2

Leave granted.

The High Court in these cases has refused to entertain the matter on the ground that the appellants should seek remedy under the Industrial law. There are other matters of similar nature still pending before the High Court. It is not open to the High Court to have dismissed the matters on that ground. Therefore, we set aside the order made by the High Court and remit the matters for fresh consideration of this question along with the other connected matters. The appeals are allowed accordingly.

.SP1

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[S. RAJENDRA BABU]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

.....J@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
[K.G. BALAKRISHNAN]@@
AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA

New Delhi,@@
AAAAAAAAA
December 11, 2000@@
AAAAAAAAA