

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

## CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 424-425 OF 2009

GAYATRI RAMANI ...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMANI MEENAKSHI SUNDARAM ...Respondent(s)

## O R D E R

1. In these petitions, the petitioner has prayed for transfer of Petition No.A-155 of 2009, pending in the Family Court at Bandra, Mumbai and H.M.O.P.No.36 of 2008, pending in the Family Court at Palani to the Family Court at Coimbatore.

2. The petitioner has sought transfer of the petition filed by the respondent on the ground of her difficulty in travelling from Coimbatore to Mumbai. She has prayed for transfer of H.M.O.P. No.36/2008 instituted by her under Sections 12(1a), 13(1)(iii) of the Hindu Marriage Act and Section 18 of the Hindu Adoption and Maintenance Act by stating that it will be convenient for the parties that all the cases are tried at the same place. An alternative prayer made by her is to transfer the petition filed by the respondent to the Family Court at Palani.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the parties. In our

2

view, it will be in the interest of justice that the petition filed by the respondent and the one filed by the petitioner at Palani are transferred to the Family Court at Coimbatore. Therefore, these petitions are allowed and Petition No.A-155 of 2009, titled Ramani Meenakshi Sundaram vs. Gayathri Ramani, pending in the Family Court at Bandra, Mumbai and H.M.O.P.No.36 of 2008, titled Gayathri Ramani v. Ramani Meenakshi Sundaram, pending in the Family Court at

Palani are transferred to the Family Court at Coimbatore,  
within the State of Tamil Nadu.

.....J.  
(G.S. SINGHVI)

.....J.  
(ASOK KUMAR GANGULY)

NEW DELHI,  
AUGUST 26, 2010.

3

ITEM NO.41

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 424-425 OF 2009

GAYATRI RAMANI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAMANI MEENAKSHI

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 26/08/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULY

For Petitioner(s) Mr. B. Raghunath, Adv.  
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s) M/S. Temple Law Firm, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The petitions are allowed in terms of the signed order.

( Satish K.Yadav )  
Court Master

( Phoolan Wati Arora )  
Court Master

( Signed order is placed on the file )