

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.2274 OF 2011

SMT. SUSHMA KAPIL ALIAS NIKKY KAPIL & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

NANAK CHAND & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Perused the office report dated 29th August, 2019.

I grant further six weeks' time to learned counsel for the appellants to make necessary compliance in respect of unserved respondent No.3, failing which the appeal shall stand dismissed qua the said respondent without further reference to the Court.

.....J
[AJAY RASTOGI]

New Delhi;
September 23, 2019

ITEM NO.38

COURT NO.11

SECTION IV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 2274/2011

SMT. SUSHMA KAPIL ALIAS NIKKY KAPIL & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

NANAK CHAND & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(Ref. Hon'ble Judge in Chambers order dated 15.07.2019 Counsel for the appellants has not furnished correct address of respondent no. 3 in C.A. No. 2274/2011 (sole appellant in C.A. No. 2037/2012).

Hence fresh notice for making alternative arrangement notice could not be issued.)

WITH

C.A. No. 2037/2012 (IV)

Date : 23-09-2019 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY RASTOGI
[IN CHAMBER]

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ravindra Keshavrao Adsure, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Civil Appeal No. 2274/2011

Perused the office report dated 29th August, 2019.

I grant further six weeks' time to learned counsel for the appellants to make necessary compliance in respect of unserved respondent No.3, failing which the appeal shall stand dismissed qua the said respondent without further reference to the Court.

(SUSHMA KUMARI BAJAJ)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(SANTOSH KUMAR)
BRANCH OFFICER

(The Signed Order is placed on the file)

