

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition(Crl.) No. 859/2001 in SLP(Crl.)No. 683/2001

DHANJI & ANR. Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF M.P. Respondent (s)

( With Appln(s). for c/delay in filing review petition )

Date : 16/08/2001 This Petition was circulated for reviewing the order today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. PHUKAN

By Circulation

UPON perusing the papers, the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I..T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J..T....R  
.SP2

Delay is condoned.  
The review petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

.SP1

[Naresh Kumar]  
Court Master

[Kanwal Singh]  
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file.]

.PA  
.UP 10 2; Draft, smtst; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R  
L.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....R

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (CR) NO.859/2001@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Dhanji & Anr. ...Petitioners

Vs.

State of M.P. ...Respondent

O R D E R@@  
CCCCCCCC

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....R  
.SP2

Delay in filing the review petition is condoned.

There was a delay of 3061 days in filing the Special Leave Petition, but as the petitioners are in jail, in the interest of justice we looked into the merits of the matter and were satisfied that no interference was called for and accordingly dismissed the S.L.P.

We have perused the review petition and the order under review. The ground on which the review of our order in the S.L.P. is sought, would not warrant review or modification of the order. The review petition is therefore dismissed.

.SP1

.....J.  
[ SYED SHAH MOHAMMED QUADRI ]

New Delhi,  
August 16, 2001.@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

.....J.  
[ S.N. PHUKAN ]