

À

Cr1.A.No. 567 OF 2004
ITEM NO. 101
COURT No. 8

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.567/2004

Bal Kishan @ Kishan

Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Rajasthan

Respondent(s)

(With appln. for bail)

DATE : 11/08/2004 This/These matter/matters was/were
called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.K. SEMA

For Appellant(s)
Mr. Uma Datta, adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms. Madhurima Tatia, Adv.
Mr. Amarjit Singh Bedi, Adv.
for Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Appeal fails and the same is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

[Charanjeet Kaur]
Court Master

[Om Prakash]
Court Master

[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 567 OF 2004

Bal Kishan @ Kishan

..
Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Rajasthan

..
Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

The appellant was convicted by trial court under Section 302 of the Penal code and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life and to pay fine of Rs. 2,000/-, in default, to undergo further imprisonment for a period of three months. On appeal being preferred, the High court upheld the conviction. Hence this appeal by special leave.

Having heard the parties and perused the impugned orders, oral and documentary evidence adduced in the case, we are of the view that the two Courts below have not committed any error in recording conviction of the appellant as the conclusions have been arrived at after threadbare discussion of evidence and dealing with each and every point raised by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant. We do not find that there is any infirmity in the impugned judgments on any count, as such no interference is called for.

Accordingly, appeal fails and the same is dismissed.

.....J[B.N. AGRAWAL]
.....J [H.K. SEMA]

NEW DELHI,
AUGUST 11, 2004.