

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA NO. 1-2/2010 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4488 OF 2003

MOHINDER SINGH & ORS.

Applicant(s)/
Appellant (s)

VERSUS

GRAM PANCHAYAT,VIL.BHOL.KADIM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for restoration and c/delay in filing application for restoration and
and office report)

Date: 21/01/2011 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE GYAN SUDHA MISRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Adv.
Dr. Monohar Singh Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. Chitta Ranjan Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Debasis Misra,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. P.N. Puri,Adv.
Mr. Dhiraj, Adv.
Ms. Reeta Dewan Puri, Adv.
Mr. J.S. Maharatta, Adv.

Rr-Ex-Parte

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Delay condoned in filing application for restoration.

Application for restoration is allowed. The Appeal is
restored to its original number.

The Appeal is dismissed.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

IA 1-2/2010
in
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 4488 OF 2003

MOHINDER SINGH & ORS.

Applicant(s)/
Appellant (s)

VERSUS

GRAM PANCHAYAT,VIL.BHOL.KADIM & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

By order dated July 30, 2010 this Court had dismissed

the Appeal in default since even on second call none appeared for the appellants to prosecute the Appeal.

Delay condoned in filing application for restoration.

For the reasons given in the application for restoration, the application for restoration is allowed.

The Appeal is restored to its original number.

This Appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment of the High Court of Punjab and Haryana dated 17.02.2003 passed in the Regular Second Appeal No. 4795 of 2001.

The facts have already been set out in the impugned judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

After having gone through the impugned judgment and and having perused the records, we are of the opinion that there is no infirmity in the impugned judgment of the High Court and hence it does not call for our interference.

We

order accordingly.

Having no merit, the Appeal is dismissed accordingly.

No costs.

.....J.
(MARKANDEY KATJU)

.....J.
(GYAN SUDHA MISRA)

NEW DELHI;
JANUARY 21, 2011.

:2: