

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

(cc 3368-3370/2000)

IA 1 in & Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal(Civil).../2000

(From the judgement and order dated 23/02/2000 in WP 2384/00.
WP 2914/00 of The HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDRABAD)

DARA SAMBAIAH

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

GOVT. OF A.P. & ORS.

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)
(With Appln(s). for permission to file SLP)

With

SLP(C)No.8213-8215/2000, SLP(C)No.14658-14660/2000,
IA 1 in & SLP(C).../2000 (cc 3435-3437/2000,

Date : 05/02/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.T. THOMAS
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. SETHI

For Petitioner (s) Mr. V.R. Reddy, Sr.
Mr. P. Sridhar, Adv.
Mr. V.G. Pragasam,Adv.

For Petitioner in Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.
14858-60 Ms. K. Amereshwari, Sr. Adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. G. Ramakrishna Pd. Adv.
Mr. D. Mahesh Babu,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.....
.SP2

The petitions have become infructuous now in view of the subsequent developments. The High Court had directed the State Administrative Tribunal to dispose of the original applications within a time fixed. Though out of the time, the State Administrative Tribunal has disposed of the original applications later. There is no need to keep these SLPs pending. The SLPs are accordingly dismissed.

...2/-

2.

Mr. J.R. Reddy, learned senior counsel submitted that contempt proceedings have been initiated against the official of the State on the basis of the interim order passed by the High Court with reference to the interim order. The Tribunal has now passed final order, and hence we do not think it necessary to proceed

with the contempt initiated before the High Court.

.SP1

Sushma

(H.K. Bhatia)
Court Master