

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 2032-2033 OF 2008

UNITED COMMERCIAL BANK THROUGH G.M. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

UCO BANK WORKERS ORGANISATION Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for modification of Court's Order and with office report)

Date: 16/01/2013 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHANSU JYOTI MUKHOPADHAYA

For Appellant(s) Mr. Satyajit A. Desai, Adv. for
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Gagan Sanghi, Adv. for
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

On March 10, 2008, while granting leave, this Court observed that the appellant - United Commercial Bank - was liable to pay wages to the respondent-workman under Section 17-B of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (short short, 'Act') from the date of the order of reinstatement passed by the High Court and, accordingly, it was directed that the appellant shall pay wages to the respondent-workman till date (i.e., March 10, 2008) within four weeks and from March 10, 2008 onwards option was given to the appellant either to reinstate the respondent or pay him wages under Section 17-B of the Act.

Mr. Gagan Sanghi, learned counsel for the respondent, submits that there is non-compliance of the order dated March 10, 2008 by the appellant.

This is controverted by Mr. Satyajit A. Desai, learned counsel for the appellant. He prays for time to file an affidavit to indicate that the order dated March 10, 2008 has been complied with by the appellant and wages under Section 17-B of the Act has been paid by the appellant to the respondent from the date of the order of the High Court until December 31, 2012.

List the matter after four weeks.

In the meanwhile, an affidavit to above effect may be filed by the appellant.

|(Rajesh Dham)
|Court Master

|(Renu Diwan)
|Court Master

|