

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO. 4262 OF 2011

WITH

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NOS. 10968 OF 2011 & 6737 OF 2012
(Applications for bail & raising the additional plea of Juvenile with Affidavit)

CONNECTED WITH

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO. 4281 OF 2011

WITH

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NOS. 10985 OF 2011 & 6732 OF 2012
(Applications for bail & raising the additional plea of Juvenile with Affidavit)

AND

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO. 4311 OF 2011

WITH

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NOS. 11047 OF 2011 & 6738 OF 2012
(Applications for bail & raising the additional plea of Juvenile with Affidavit)

AND

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO. 4329 OF 2011

WITH

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NOS. 11051 OF 2011 & 6742 OF 2012
(Applications for bail & raising the additional plea of Juvenile with Affidavit)

AND

PETITION FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL (CRL.) NO. 8482 OF 2011

WITH

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS PETITION NO. 21934 OF 2011
(Application for bail)

Kambalapalli Ranga Reddy Etc.

... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

:2:

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 10th July, 2013 when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:

"A report has been received from the Juvenile Justice Board, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh. Mr. ATM. Rangaramanujam, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent-State seeks a short adjournment to examine the report and file his objections. Mr. Rangaramanujam argued that the report submitted by Juvenile Justice Board may not be tenable in law as the inquiry conducted by the Board was ex-parteasmuch as no opportunity was given to the respondent-State to cross-examine the witnesses whose depositions were recorded by the Board in the course of inquiry. He is unable to state as to whether the State is represented by prosecuting officer or presenting officer before the Board. He sought time to take instructions on that aspect also.

Post after three weeks. Objections, if any, may be filed by learned counsel for the petitioners. Instructions may also be taken by Mr. Rangaramanujam as to the person who presents the prosecution version before the Juvenile Justice Board."

It is submitted that Counsel for the Petitioners has not filed any document regarding objections, so far.

Service of Show Cause Notice is complete.

The matters above-mentioned are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

DATED this the 6th day of August, 2013.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

COPY TO:

1. Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Advocate
2. Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Advocate

c-4/dk

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR