

ITEM NO.61

COURT NO.11

SECTION XVII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).10602/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 14/01/2009 in RP No. 2148/2007 of The NATIONAL CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL COMMISSION, NEW DELHI)

VIPIN KUMAR & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

HARYANA URBAN DEVT.AUTHORITY & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to file additional documents and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 02/08/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASOK KUMAR GANGULYFor Petitioner(s) Mr. E.C. Agrawala, Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Agrawala, Adv.
Ms. Neha Agrawal, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. Neeraj Kumar Jain, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Singh, Adv.
Mr. U. Shankar, Adv.
Mr. Ugra Shankar Prasad, Adv.UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

This petition is directed against the order of the National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (for short 'the National Commission') which allowed the revision filed by the respondents and set aside the orders passed by District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Karnal and State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Haryana in the matter of allotment of plot to the petitioners in Sector 6 or in any adjoining sector in Karnal.

2

We have heard learned counsel for the parties at some length and perused the records.

In our view, the impugned order does not suffer from any patent legal infirmity, which calls for interference under Article 136 of the Constitution.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, liberty is given to the petitioners to avail other appropriate legal remedies which may be available to them.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)
Court Master