

\
CrI.A.No. 956 OF 1998
ITEM No.105

Court No.11

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

CrI.A.No.956/1998

State of Haryana ...Appellant(s)

Versus

Raghibir ...Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 11/03/2004 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.M. DHARMADHIKARI

For Appellant (s)Mr.D.P. Singh, Adv.
Mr.Sanjay Jain, Adv.
Ms.Arneet Toor, Adv.
Mr.Vinay Kumar Garg, Adv.

For Respondent (s)
Mr.Rakesh Dahiya, Adv.
Mr.Nikhil Jain, Adv.
Mr.M.L. Khatter, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Singh, Adv.
Mr.Mahabir Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Sheetal Dhingra)(Kanwal Singh)
Court Master Court Master
[The signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL Nos. 956 OF 1998

State of Haryana ...Appellant (s)

Versus

Raghibir ...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard the parties.

The sole appellant was convicted by the trial court under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'the IPC) and sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life. On appeal being preferred before the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, the respondent has been acquitted of the charge. Hence, this appeal by special leave.

Having heard the parties and perused the impugned judgment rendered by the High Court, we are of the view that no case for interference is made out as the order of acquittal cannot be said to be perverse in any manner. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.
(B.N. AGRAWAL)

.....J.
(D.M. DHARMADHIKARI)

New Delhi
March 11, 2004.