

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3313/2026

CHEMICAL SUPPLIERS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

KANODIA TECHNOPLAST LIMITED

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. After having heard learned counsel appearing for the appellant, we do not find a good reason to take a different view than what has been taken by the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT). Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

.....J.
(UJJAL BHUYAN)

**NEW DELHI;
APRIL 07, 2026**

ITEM NO.7

COURT NO.14

SECTION XVII-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3313/2026

CHEMICAL SUPPLIERS INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

KANODIA TECHNOPLAST LIMITED

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

Date : 07-04-2026 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

For Appellant(s) : Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Dheeraj P Deo, AOR
Mr. Nikhil Kumar Verma, Adv.
Ms. Kamna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kapil Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Mahak Agarwal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Neeraj Kishan Kaul, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Anand, Adv.
Mr. Mandeep Kalra, AOR
Mr. Karan Kohli, Adv.
Ms. Palak Kalra, Adv.
Ms. Ridhima Mehrotra, Adv.
Ms. Vanshika Dhoot, Adv.
Ms. Vanshika Agrawal, Adv.
Ms. Aanya Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Arshita Girdhar, Adv.
Ms. Simran Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Dhanya S Krishnan, Adv.
Mr. Raghav Agrawal, Adv.
Ms. Radhika Narula, Adv.
Ms. Anushna Satapathy, Adv.
Ms. Chitrangada Singh, Adv.

Mr. Yashas J, Adv.
Mr. Vaibhav Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Paras Mohan Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order which is placed on the file.
2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAVITA PAHUJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)