

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2006

CC 3890/2006

(From the judgement and order dated 29/07/2005 in SA No. 442/2005 of
The HIGH COURT OF U.P AT LUCKNOW)

BRIJENDRA KUMAR TRIVEDI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE URBAN DEVELOPMENT AGENCY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

With I.A. 1 (c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 10/05/2006 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Viplav Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

The matter was argued at some length.

Our attention was drawn to four orders passed by the High Court.

The

first order is the order passed by the Division Bench in Special Appeal No.442

of

2005 dated 29.7.2005. The Second order is the order in W.P. No.2642 (S/S) of 200

1

passed by the learned Single Judge of the High Court on 25
The third

.5.2001.

order was passed by the learned Single Judge of the High Court on 11.3.2

005 in

CAMA no.10110 in W.P. No.2642(S/S) of 2001 and the fourth order is

the order

dated 3.7.2005 passed by the same learned Single Judge in W.
P.No.2642(S/S) of

2001.

d Single

According to the learned counsel for the petitioner, same learne

Judge, who passed the first order has now passed the impugned order in this S.L.
P.

::2::

If the petitioner has got any grievance it is always open t
o him to

approach the Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench to review their order
dated

29.7.2005. We, therefore, grant the prayer, as asked for by the learned counsel for

the petitioner, to withdraw the special leave petition with liberty to approach the

Hon'ble Judges of the Division Bench for review of their order.

The special leave petition is disposed of accordingly.

(A.D. Sharma)

Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)

Court Master

