



**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL No. _____ OF 2026
[arising out of SLP (Crl.) No. 3824 OF 2026]**

AMRA

APPELLANT

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

RESPONDENT

ORDER

1. Leave granted.
2. The High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Indore, by the impugned judgment and order dated 16th January, 2026, has rejected the appellant's application for bail.
3. Appellant was arrested on 6th July, 2025 in connection with FIR No.0368 of 2025 dated 6th July, 2025 registered at Police Station-Sendhwa Gramin, District-Barwani (M.P.) under Section 34(2) of Madhya Pradesh Excise Act, 1915 and Section 111(2)(b) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
4. We have heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the materials on record.
5. It is reported that recording of evidence will start from 20th April,

2026. Trial is, thus, likely to take time.

6. It is not in dispute that the appellant has no criminal antecedents.

7. Taking an overall view of the matter in the light of the nature of the allegations, we are of the considered opinion that the appellant need not be detained in custody any longer and that he could be admitted to an order for release on bail pending trial.

8. Accordingly, we set aside the impugned judgment and order.

9. Appellant shall be released on bail, subject to furnishing of bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court and subject to such other terms and conditions as may be imposed by it.

10. Needless to observe, the appellant shall not, directly or indirectly, by making inducement, threat or promise, dissuade any person acquainted with the facts of the case from disclosing such facts to the court.

11. In the event there is any breach of the terms and conditions for grant of bail, the trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail of the appellant.

12. It is also ordered that the appellant shall diligently attend proceedings of the trial, unless exempted. If he abstains from attending the proceedings without justifiable cause, that could also be seen as breach of the conditions for grant of bail and the trial court will be free to pass appropriate orders.

13. We clarify that the observations made in this order and grant of bail will not be treated as findings on the merits of the case.

14. The appeal is, accordingly, allowed on the aforesaid terms.

15. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

.....J.
[DIPANKAR DATTA]

.....J.
[SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA]

**New Delhi;
April 13, 2026.**

ITEM NO.6

COURT NO.8

SECTION II-E

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 3824/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 16-01-2026 in MCRLC No. 58271/2025 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Indore]

AMRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH
Respondent(s) IA No. 66575/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 66583/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ ANNEXURES

Date : 13-04-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Denish V. Mavadhiya, Adv.
Mr. Md. Ali, AOR
Ms. Chandni, Adv.
Ms. Shalu, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. V.V.V.M.B. Pattabhiram, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Pashupati Nath Razdan, AOR
Mr. Maitreyee Jagat Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Astik Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Muskaan, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order placed on the file.

(JATINDER KAUR)
P.S. to REGISTRAR

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)