

SECTION IVB

Listed on.26.07.2016

Court No.R-2

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Item No. 4

PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL LEAVE TO APPEAL(CIVIL)NOS. 38532 OF 2012, 22206-09, 30491 OF 2013, 205-08, 30271 OF 2014, 15510 AND 24350 OF 2015

WITH PRAYER FOR INTERIM RELIEF

Mehar Singh & Ors. Etc. Etc.Petitioner(s)

Versus

Gram Panchayat Shejo Majra & Ors. Etc. Etc....Respondent(s)

OFFICE REPORT

The matters above mentioned were listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 11.04.2016, when inter alia the following Order was passed:-

"SLP(C) No. 22206-22209/2013

Four weeks time, as last chance is given to the learned counsel for the petitioners for filing the affidavit in proof of dasti service of notice already issued to the respondent No. 1

Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Ld. Counsel shall within a period of four weeks, as last chance file the NOC from the erstwhile Advocate in respect of Respondent No.2.

SLP (C) No. 15510/2015

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 shall be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioner within a period of four weeks, as last chance.

SLP (C) No.38532/2012

Fresh steps for the service of notice by usual mode to the unserved respondents shall be taken by the learned counsel for the petitioners within a period of four weeks.

List again on 8.7.2016. "

SLP (C) No.38532/2012

It is submitted that Counsel for the petitioners has not taken fresh steps in respect of unserved respondent nos. 2, 3, 22 & 30 so far.

Service of show cause notice is incomplete in respect of respondent nos. 2, 3, 22 & 30.

SLP (C) No. 2206-09/2013

It is submitted that Counsel for the petitioner has filed affidavit alongwith proof of dasti service in respect of respondent no. 1 but there is no appearance on his behalf.

It is further submitted that Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Advocate has not filed 'No Objection' from erstwhile Advocate Mr. Yadav Narender Singh, Advocate for respondent no. 2.

Service of show cause notice is complete on both the respondents.

SLP (C) No. 15510/2015

It is submitted that Mr. Abhijat P. Medh, Advocate has on 12.07.2016 filed Vakalatnama & Memo of Appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 1 but no Counter Affidavit has been filed.

It is also submitted that Counsel for the petitioners has on 12.07.2016 filed 2-sets of spare copies of SLP which is barred by time by 63 days. Hence, show cause notice to Respondent Nos. 2 & 3 could not be issued.

Service of show cause notice is not complete on respondent nos. 2 & 3.

SLP (C) No. 30491/2013

It is submitted that Counsel for the petitioner has not taken fresh steps for service of show cause notice on unserved respondent nos. 2 till date despite 3 weeks time as a last chance granted by Ld. Registrar on 25.09.2014.

It is further submitted that Mr. S. Janani, Advocate for the petitioner has not filed any proof of providing the copies of pleadings on Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate for respondent no. 1 till date despite one week time granted by

Ld. Registrar. Counter Affidavit has not been filed by counsel for respondent no. 1.

Service of show cause notice is complete on respondent no. 1 and is not complete on respondent no. 2.

SLP (C) No. 205-08/2014

It is submitted that fresh show cause notice was issued to both the respondents by Regd. A.D. Post on 21.05.2015 in terms of Hon'ble Court (In Chambers) order dated 06.05.2015. Neither A.D. card nor unserved cover containing notice has been received back so far.

Service of show cause notice is not complete.

SLP (C) No. 30271/2014

The office report on default in the matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court (In Chamber) on 08.04.2016, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

" Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Respondent No.3 is a proforma respondent and may be deleted from the array of parties.

As prayed by the learned counsel for the petitioners, Respondent No. 3 is ordered to be deleted from the array of parties at the risk of the petitioners.

Cause title be amended accordingly. "

It is submitted that Ms. Naresh Bakshi, Advocate who appeared through respondent no. 1 on 26.02.2015 has not filed Vakalatnama and Counter Affidavit on behalf of respondent no. 1 so far.

It is further submitted that respondent no. 2 is represented through Ms. Manju Jetley, Advocate. But she has not filed Counter Affidavit so far. Service on respondent no. 4 is complete but no one entered appearance on his behalf.

Service is not complete on respondent no. 1.

SLP (C) No. 24350/2015

The matter above mentioned was listed before the Hon'ble Court on 21.08.2015, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

" Delay condoned.
Issue notice.
The parties are directed to maintain
status quo prevailing as on date.
Tag with S.L.P. (C) No.8687 of 2012."

Accordingly, show cause notice was issued to all the six respondents through Regd. A.D. Post on 11.09.2015. A.D. Card duly signed has been received from respondent no. 2 so far but no one has entered appearance on behalf of respondent no. 2 so far. Neither A.D. card nor unserved cover containing notice has been received back in respect of respondent nos. 1 & 3 to 5 so far.

Service of show cause notice is incomplete on respondent nos. 1, 3 to 6.

The matters are listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with this office report.

Dated this the 25th day of July, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to : Mr. R.C. Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Narender Singh Yadav, Adv.
Mr. B.S. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. S.L. Aneja, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

haokip