

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).11282/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 03-02-2026 in WA No. 661/2025 passed by the High Court of Chhatisgarh at Bilaspur]

VIVIDH KUMAR SINGH & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHHATTISGARH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 91901/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 91903/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

SLP(C) No. 11356/2026 (IV-C)

IA No. 92798/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 92796/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

WITH

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 11198/2026

IA No. 101389/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILEING / CURING THE DEFECTS

IA No. 101416/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 101415/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 06-04-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, AOR
Ms. Unnati Vaibhav, Adv.
Ms. Barkha Agrawal, Adv.
Ms. Dibya Rai, Adv.

Mr. Shree Prakash Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Sameer Tiwari, Adv.
Ms. Trishla Kumari, Adv.
Ms. Mohua Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Nawalendra Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Mishra, AOR

M/S. Dharmaprabhas Law Associates, AOR
Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Harshwardhan Parganiha, Adv.

Mr. Anshul Rai, Adv.
Ms. Mallika Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Ojaswa Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Raunaq Singh, Adv.
Mr. Harshit, Adv.
Ms. Manubha, Adv.
Mr. Anmol Singh Khanuja, Adv.
Mr. Abhishekh Pandey, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Abhinav Shrivastava, AOR
Ms. Unnati Vaibhav, Adv.
Ms. Barkha Agrawal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).11282/2026:

1. Issue notice to respondent Nos.1 to 4.
2. In view of the stay having already been granted, reiteration of the same is not warranted and it is needless to state that the said order of the stay would also enure to the benefit of the petitioner(s). Petitioner(s) is permitted to serve respondent Nos.1 to 3 through the learned standing counsel.
4. Notice to respondent Nos.5 to 55 stands dispensed with at the risk of petitioner(s) as they are proforma respondents.

SLP(C) No.11356/2026:

1. Issue notice to respondent No.1 to 4.
2. In view of the stay having already been granted, reiteration of the same is not warranted and it is needless to state that the said order of the stay would enure to the benefit of the petitioner(s). Petitioner(s) is permitted to serve respondent Nos.1 to 3 through the learned standing counsel.
4. Notice to respondent Nos.5 to 61 stands dispensed with at the risk of petitioner(s) as they are proforma respondents.

Diary No(s).11198/2026:

1. Issue notice to respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 67.
2. In view of the stay having already been granted, reiteration of the same is not warranted and it is needless to state that the said order of the stay would enure to the benefit of the petitioner(s). Petitioner(s) is permitted to serve respondent Nos.1 to 3 through the learned standing counsel.
4. Notice to respondent Nos.4 to 66 stands dispensed with at the risk of petitioner(s) as they are proforma respondents.

All the above matters is ordered to be tagged alongwith SLP (C) No.6065/2026.

(NEHA GUPTA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)