

&ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.7

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 200 OF 1999

GHASITA & ORS.

VERSUS

Appellant (s)

STATE OF M.P.

Respondent(s)

(With office report for directions)

Date: 11/01/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s)

Mr.Rajesh Sharma, Adv.

Mr.Chittarajan Mishra, Adv.

Mr.V.S. Mishra Sarthak Choudhary, Adv

Ms. Shalu Sharma,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Vairagya Vardhan, Adv.

Mr. C.D. Singh,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Since the appeal preferred by the appellants already stands dismissed by virtue of order dated 12.4.2006 and the bail bonds and sureties furnished by the appellants have been cancelled, no further orders are called for in this appeal. It will now be open to the State to take appropriate steps to ensure that the absconding appellant is apprehended and is taken back in custody forthwith to serve out the remaining part of the sentence awarded to him.

[Usha Bhardwaj]
Court Master

[Usha Sharma]
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 200

OF 1999

Ghasita & Ors.

...Appellants

Versus

State of M.P.

...Respondent

O R D E R

Since the appeal preferred by the appellants already stands dismissed by virtue of order dated 12.4.2006 and the bail bonds and sureties furnished by the appellants have been cancelled, no further orders are called for in this appeal. It will now be open to the State to take appropriate steps to ensure that the absconding appellant is apprehended and is taken back in custody forthwith to serve out the remaining part of the sentence awarded to

him.

.....J.
(D.K. JAIN)

.....J.
(T.S. THAKUR)

New Delhi,
January 11, 2010.