

ITEM NO.24

COURT NO.6

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8212/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 23-12-2025 in IAGA(COM) No. 7/2025 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

WEST BENGAL INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LIMITED Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RASANDIK ENGINEERING INDUSTRIES INDIA LIMITED & ANR. Respondent(s)

(IA No. 64862/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT) (IA No. 64861/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 12-03-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Kishore Dutta, Advocate General  
Mr. S. Niranjan Reddy, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Paritosh Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Sidharth Sethi, AOR  
Ms. Shreya Sircar, Adv.  
Ms. Shivangi Pathak, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Namit Suri, AOR  
Ms. Devika Mohan, Adv.  
Ms. Tanya Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Pepakayala Geetanjali, Adv.  
Mr. Cyril Ignatious, Adv.  
Mr. Dhruv Negi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Heard Mr. Kishore Dutta, learned Advocate General for the State and Mr. S. Niranjan Reddy, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents.
2. Mr. Niranjan Reddy has brought to our notice the order passed by the High Court on 23.12.2025, the relevant portion of which is

reproduced thus :-

"The above objections are frivolous and rejected. It is quite obvious that both the WBIDC and the State are only interested in unnecessary procrastinating the hearing of the suit. The plea for filing an affidavit to this application is equally meritless and only to delay matters."

3. Having considered the matter in detail, while we are not inclined to interfere with the impugned order passed by the High Court, we are of the opinion that observations of the High Court that the objections on behalf of the petitioner that there is unnecessary procrastination on behalf of the State is unnecessary and as such, they are set aside.

4. With this limited clarification, the Special Leave Petition stands dismissed.

5. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR