

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 954 of 2006
BHUSHAN KUMAR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

BADRILAL DAYAMA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have perused the record and also heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not see any ground to interfere with the impugned order. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

However, we grant exemption to the appellant from personal appearance and permit him to appear through counsel before the trial court, subject to further order of the trial court. We make it clear that if the appellant does not cooperate with the proceedings, the trial court will be at liberty to order his personal appearance.

We direct the parties to appear before the trial court for further proceedings on Monday, the 24 th April, 2017.

Pending applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.

(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

.....J.

(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

New Delhi,

February 21, 2017.

ITEM NO.112

COURT NO.13

SECTION IIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 954/2006

BHUSHAN KUMAR

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

BADRILAL DAYAMA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date : 21/02/2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s) Mr. Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Viresh B. Saharya, Adv.

Mr. Shishir Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Akshat Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Chauhan, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, Adv.

Ms. Anu Gupta, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, the appeal is dismissed.

(MAHABIR SINGH)

(VEENA KHERA)

COURT MASTER

COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)