

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 3255 OF 2013

SMT. CHARULATA JOSHI & ORS. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PRITHVIBALLABH & ORS. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

The learned counsel for the appellants states that he does not want to substitute the legal representatives of deceased Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 and, therefore, the names of Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 may be struck off from the array of parties.

The prayer is accepted. The names of Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 are struck off from the array of parties at the risk of the appellants.

.....J.
[SANJAY KISHAN KAUL]

New Delhi;
SEPTEMBER 13, 2018.

ITEM NO.34

COURT NO.4

SECTION IV-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 3255/2013

SMT. CHARULATA JOSHI & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

PRITHVIBALLABH . & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 13-09-2018 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KISHAN KAUL
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Appellant(s) Ms. Amita Singh, Adv.
Mr. S. K. Dey, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Sagar Tiwari, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Anil K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Atul Sharma, AOR

Mr. Pramit Saxena, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The learned counsel for the appellants states that he does not want to substitute the legal representatives of deceased Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 and, therefore, the names of Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 may be struck off from the array of parties.

The prayer is accepted. The names of Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 are struck off from the array of parties at the risk of the appellants.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
COURT MASTER

(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)
BRANCH OFFICER

(Signed order is placed on the file)