

ITEM NO.21

COURT NO.17

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Cr1.)No(s). 3863-3864/2022

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-12-2021 in CRLP No. 4192/2017 09-12-2021 in CRLP No. 4193/2017 passed by the High Court Of Judicature At Madras)

P. KALAISELVAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF TAMIL NADU

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.61288/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.61289/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.61287/2022-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

SLP(Cr1) No. 3905/2022 (II-C)

(FOR ADMISSION and I.R. and IA No.61983/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No.61985/2022-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.61981/2022-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 29-04-2022 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY S. OKA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Siddharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Aggarwal, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Aakanksha Kaul, Adv.
Ms. Garima Bajaj, AOR
Ms. Rudrali Patil, Adv.
Ms. Jasmine Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Karan Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Agnish Aditya, Adv.
Mr. Pankaj Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Bharat Monga, Adv.
Mr. Nikhil Bamal, Adv.
Mr. Manek Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

No case of interference with the impugned orders passed by the High Court is made out.

However, taking into consideration the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that, in case the petitioner(s) have not been admitted to bail, they shall be granted bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court. Similarly, the petitioner(s) shall be at liberty to seek exemption from personal appearance on the date(s) of hearing and seek permission to cause appearance through video conference platform save and except when there personal appearance is mandatorily required.

It is further clarified that the observations made by the High Court in paragraph 4 of its impugned order dated 09.12.2021 will have no bearing on the merits of the case.

Accordingly, the present appeals stand dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(RAM SUBHAG SINGH)
BRANCH OFFICER