

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTI

ON XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal

No(s). 10521-10522/2011

JENNET
Appellant(s)

Appel

VERSUS

DIV.ENGNR.,BSNL & ANR.
Respondent(s)

Respo

Date : 22/04/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Chandan Ramamurthi,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Pavan Kumar,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The office report indicates that the Ld. Couns
el for the
appellant and the appearing respondent No.1 have failed to file the
statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by
letter dated 6.3.2013 of the Registry. Service of
notice is
complete on the respondent No. 2 but no one has entered appearance
on his behalf. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rul
es, 2013
provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case
within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be
Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
presumed

Madhu Grover

Date: 2015.04.24

he list of

12:08:25 CEST

Reason:
dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at th
e time of presentation of petition for seeking speci
al leave to
appeal (SLP)/Appeal, as statement of case, and does not desire to

ITEM NO.95

2

file any further statement of case. The order further provides
that if the respondent who has entered appearance does not file a

statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same. Therefore, in view of the rule position cited above the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

MG