

Æ  
SLP(C)No. 15289 OF 2000

ITEM No.32

Court No. 7

SECTION IVA  
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.15289/2000

(From the judgment and order dated 19.11.1999 in WP No.643/96 of the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur)

KAMAL RAM KUMAR PATWA & ANR.

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE TRANSPORT APPELLATE TRIBUNAL & ORS

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date : 11/07/2001 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAJENDRA BABU  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.G. BALAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner (s)

Mr. A.K. Sanghi, Adv.

For Respondent (s)

Mrs. J.S. Wad, Adv. for  
M/s. J.S. Wad & Co., Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J.  
.SP2

We do not think that there is any justification for the petitioner to rely upon the decision of this Court in Mithilesh Garg & Ors. v. Union of India &@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC  
Ors., (1992) 1 SCC 168, which was rendered in an@@  
CCCC  
altogether different context. The contention urged is that with the new Motor Vehicles Act coming into force, the scheme framed under the old Motor Vehicles Act

...2/-

stood repealed. This contention cannot be accepted. On the other hand, the scope of the provisions of the new Act makes it clear that even the pending schemes

can be considered under the new Act. If this is so, the new Act has no effect upon the scheme that has been framed already. We do not think that any substantial point has been urged on behalf of the petitioner. The special leave petition is therefore dismissed.

.SP1

(K.K. Chawla)  
Court Master

(Om Prakash)  
Court Master