

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.104 OF 2001

STATE OF HARYANA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MITHU SINGH

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for issuance of non-bailable warrants of arrest and office report)

Date: 07/08/2007 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TARUN CHATTERJEE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Rajeev Gaur 'Naseem', Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. T.V. George, Adv.For Respondent(s) Mr. M.M. Kashyap, Adv.
Mr. K.P. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dharam Bir Raj Vohra, Adv.UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
ORDER

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the
impugned order of acquittal as the same cannot be said to be
perverse in any manner.The criminal appeal fails and the same is,
accordingly, dismissed.[T.I. Rajput]
A.R.-cum-P.S.[Om Prakash]
Court Master[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.104 OF 2001

State of Haryana

...Appellant(s)

Versus

Mithu Singh

...Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

We do not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order of acquittal as the same cannot be said to be perverse in any manner.

The criminal appeal fails and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....J.
[B.N. AGRAWAL]

.....J.
[TARUN CHATTERJEE]

.....J.
[D.K. JAIN]

New Delhi,
August 07, 2007.