LISTED ON : 14.03.2016
COURT NO.: 04
ITEM NO. : 302

SECTION XIIA
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 4569, 5083, 5084, 5085, 5052, 5053, 5054, 5057, 5055, 5056, 5058, 5063, 5064, 5065, 5066, 5067, 5068, 5061, 5069, 5070, 5059, 5071, 5062, 5060, 5076, 5077, 5074, 5072, 5073, 5078, 5082, 5080, 5079, 5075, 5081, 7043-7078, 7092, 7088, 7041, 7042, 7083, 7093, 7103, 7085, 7079, 7104, 7102, 7091, 7090, 7087, 7084, 7080, 7086, 7089, 7081-7082, 7098-7099, 7096-7097, 7100-7101, 7094-7095, 7105-7106 OF 2003.

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 2 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5064/2003
(Application for stay/directions and appl. for permission to file add. documents)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 1
(Application for permission to file additional documents in all the CAs)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.1-36 AND 37-72 in CIVIL APPEAL NOS. 7043-7048/2003
(Application for directions)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.2 in Civil Appeal Nos. 5058, 5078, 5055, 7090, 5069, 5070, 5062 of 2003)
(Application for permission to change the name of Appellant)

TRANSMISSION CORPN. OF A.P. LTD. ETC. ETC. ...APPELLANTS

-VERSUS-

M/S RAIN CALCINING LTD. AND ORS.ETC.ETC ...RESPONDENTS

OFFICE REPORT

These are appeals by Special Leave filed against the Judgment and Order dated 18th April, 2003, 1st August, 2003 and 5th August, 2003 of the High Court of Judicature, Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad in Civil Miscellaneous Appeal Nos. 1025, 1061 of 2002, Writ Petition No. 4771 of 2002, Civil Miscellaneous Appeal No. 1049 of 2002, Writ Petition No. 4770 of 2002, Civil Miscellaneous Appeal Nos. 1193, 1060, 1062, 1094, 1059, 1260, 1056, 1088, 1255, 1215, 1054, 1064, 1075, 1198, 1057, 1259, 1177, 1087, 1085, 1058,

1055, 1137, 1358, 1214 of 2002, Civil Miscellaneous Application (SR) No. 33406 of 2002, Civil Miscellaneous Appeal Nos. 1098, 1049, 1063, 1351, 1151 of 2002, Writ Petition Nos. 4770-71 of 2002, Civil Miscellaneous Application Nos. 1931, 1025, 1054, 1055-1064, 1075, 1079, 1087, 1088, 1094, 1198, 1214, 1215, 1255, 1356, 1370-1372, 1428, 1430, 1443, 1495, 1496, 1665, 1927, 1959, 1496, 1665, 1819, 1927, 1959, 1443, 1429, 1356, 1155, 1495, 1444, 1691, 1433, 1690, 1372, 1370, 1371, 1428 of 2002, Contempt Application No. 918 of 2013 and Contempt Case No. 902 of 2003, Civil Application No. 919 of 2003 and Contempt Case No. 903 of 2003, Contempt Application No. 921 of 2003 and Contempt Case No. 904 of 2003, Contempt Application No. 933 of 2003 and Contempt Case No. 922 of 2003, Contempt Application No. 934 of 2003 and Contempt Case No. 923 of 2003, Contempt Application No. 932 of 2003 and Contempt Case No. 921 of 2003.

SLP 8892/2003, which was later on converted into CA No. 4569/2003 was placed for hearing before the Hon'ble Court in the mentioning list on 7th May, 2003, when the Hon'ble Court pleased to pass the following Order:-

> "List as notified. Bank Guarantees shall not be invoked till the date of hearing subject to the condition of keeping the bank guarantees alive."

Special Leave Petitions which were converted into C.A. No. 4569/2003 5052 TO 5085, 7043-7078, 7041, 7042, 7079, 7080, 7083 TO 7093, 7102 TO 7104, 7081-7082, 7098-7099, 7096-7097, 7100-7101, 7094-95 and 7105-7106 of 2003 were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 7th July, 2003 and this Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

> "Leave granted.
>
> Counsel for some of the respondents appear and take notice.
>
> Heard on the question of grant of interim relief.
>
> The interim order dated 7.5.2003 is confirmed so as to remain in operation during the hearing of the appeals. However, over and above the bank guarantees covered by the order dated 7.5.2003, we are not inclined to ask for further bank guarantees being furnished. The parties, needless to say, shall remain bound to comply with the ultimate decision given by this Court.

During the pendency of the appeals, the transmission charges shall be liable to be paid as per the terms of the agreement subsisting between the parties.

Printing dispensed with. The appeals shall be heard on the SLP paper books. Parties may file additional documents, if any, within six weeks. Original record shall be requisitioned."

These matters were again listed before the Hon'ble Court on 26th July, 2005, when following Order was passed:-

"C.A. Nos. 8969/03, 8970/03, 8971/03, 8972/03, 8974/03, 8975/03, 8976/03, 8977/03, 8978, 1945/04, 10125-10132/03 and 1946-1947/04.

Delinked. These appeals shall be taken up separately and not with C.A. No. 4569/03."

The matters were again listed before the Hon'ble Court on 3rd August, 2005, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following Order:-

"After hearing learned counsel for the parties at some legnth, we are of the view that the points involved in these appeals are of great importance and would have wide remifications and continued effect not only for the State of Andhra Pradesh but also have its effect on statutes of similar nature in other States as well. We, therefore, feel that these mattes should be heard by a Bench of three Hon'ble Judges.

Place these matters before the Hon'ble Chief Justice of India for appropriate directions."

The group of matters were lastly listed before a bench of three Hon'ble Judges on 30th October, 2013, when the Hon'ble Court passed the following Order:-

"Shri V. V.S. Rao, learned senior counsel for the appellant requests for an adjournment by saying that he is busy in a part-heard matter before another Bench.

The request of Shri V.V.S. Rao is accepted and hearing in this batch of appeals is adjourned for a month.

Put up on 05.12.2013."

Subsequently, the group of matters were listed before the Hon'ble Court on 29th February, 2016, when the Hon'ble Court was pleased to pass the following order:

"Heard in-part.

Put up for further hearing on 14th March, 2016."

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Counsel for the appellant has filed a letter dated 30th August, 2014 alongwith Amended memo of parties and G.O. M/s No. 26 dated 29th May, 2014 in all the Civil Appeals except Civil Appeal Nos. 5056, 7043-7048, 7042, 7093, 7085, 7081-7082, 7098-7099, 7096-7097, 7100-7101, 7094-7095, 7105-7106 of 2007. However, in CA Nos. 5058, 5078, 5055, 7090, 5069, 5070, 5062 of 2003 applications for change of name have been filed but no such application/amended cause title has been filed in CA Nos. 7043-7048 of 2003.

* * * * * * * * * * *

Service position and Status of the matters:

C.A. No. 4569/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is the contesting respondent and is represented by M/s. Suresh A. Shroff & Co., Advocates. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but not one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5083/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 9928/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5084/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 9934/2003:

There are eight Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 8 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5085/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 9935/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5052/ 2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10001/2003:

There are eight Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is contesting Respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 8 are proforma Respondents. Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5053/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10104/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Y. Rajagopala Rao, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5054/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10108/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5057/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10125/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5055/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10109/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is complete but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate has filed an application for permission to change the name of Appellant. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 2 and is placed before the Hon'ble Court for its kind perusal.

C.A. No. 5056/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10110/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by M/s. MCLM & Co., Advocates. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5058/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10404/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate has on 2nd September, 2014 filed an application for permission to change the name of Appellant. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 2 and is placed before the Hon'ble Court for its kind perusal.

C.A. No. 5063/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10516/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5064/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10520/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5065/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10521/2003:

There are two Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. E.C. Agarwala, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 is proforma

respondent and service on the said respondent is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on his behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5066/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10522/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5067/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10523/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5068/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10524/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed

Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5061/203 @ SLP (C) No. 10481/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is contesting Respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma Respondents.

Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5069/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10529/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by M/s. MCLM & Co., Advocates. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate has on 2nd September, 2014 filed an application for permission to change the name of Appellant. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 2 and is placed before the Hon'ble Court for its kind perusal.

C.A. No. 5070/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10530/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is contesting Respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma Respondents.

Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate has on 2nd September, 2014 filed an application for permission to change the name of Appellant. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 2 and is placed before the Hon'ble Court for its kind perusal.

C.A. No. 5059/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10466/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5071/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10534/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by M/s. MCLM & Co., Advocates and Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma Respondents. Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application

for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5062/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10498/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is contesting Respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma Respondents.

Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate has on 2nd September, 2014 filed an application for permission to change the name of Appellant. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 2 and is placed before the Hon'ble Court for its kind perusal.

C.A. No. 5060/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10478/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Y. Rajagopala Prasad, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5076/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10652/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5077/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10655/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is contesting Respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma Respondents.

Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5074/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10649/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Rajan Narain, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5072/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10645/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is contesting Respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma Respondents. Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed

alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5073/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10646/2003:

There are four Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. A. V. Rangam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5078/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10656/2003:

There are four Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. A. V. Rangam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate has on 2nd September, 2014 filed an application for permission to change the name of Appellant. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 2 and is placed before the Hon'ble Court for its kind perusal.

C.A. No. 5082/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10817/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is contesting Respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma Respondents. Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5080/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10668/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Umesh Kr. Khaitan, Advocate Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5079/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10657/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5075/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10651/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is contesting Respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma Respondents. Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application

for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 5081/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 10791/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by M/s. MCLM & Co., Advocates and Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma Respondents. Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. Nos. 7043-7078/2003 @ SLP (C) Nos. 14941-14976/2003:

Respondent No. 1 in C.A. Nos. 7043-7045/2003, 7047-7048/2003, 7049-7058/2003, 7060-7070/2003, 7076/2003, 7077/2003 is represented by Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Advocate.

Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 7046/2003 is represented by M/s. Suresh A. Shroff & Co., Advocate.

Respondent No. 1 in C.A. No. 7059/2003 is represented by Mr. Umesh K. Khaitan, Advocate.

Respondent No. 1 in C. A. Nos. 7074-7075/2003, 7078/2003 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate.

Andhra Pradesh Gas Power Corporation Limited, which was impleaded as respondent in these appeals vide this Hon'ble Court order dated 23rd February, 2004 is represented by Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Advocate.

APTRANSCO (Transmission Corporation of A.P. Ltd.) one of the respondent in these appeals is represented by Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate.

I.A. Nos. 1-36/2003 for directions filed by the appellant on 20th September, 2003 and I. A. Nos. 37-72/2004 for directions on behalf of by APTRANSCO filed by Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Advocate, were listed before this Hon'ble Court on 23rd February, 2004 and 12th April, 2004 respectively when the

Hon'ble Court was pleased to issue notice on these Interlocutory Applications.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7092/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15195/2003:

There are six respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7088/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15255/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is the contesting respondent and Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma Respondents.

Service is complete on all the respondents but no one has put in appearance.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7041/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15258/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant

has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7042/2003 @ SLP (C) No.15256/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7083/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15557/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7093/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15619/2003:

There are six respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by M/s. MCLM & Co., Advocates, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application

for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7103/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15620/2003:

There are six respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by M/s. MCLM & Co., Advocates. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7085/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15621/2003:

There are three Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. A. V. Rangam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 3 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7079/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15622/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed

alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7104/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15623/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7102/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15624/2003:

There are five respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mrs. Prabha Swami, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7091/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15625/2003:

There are five respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Y. Rajagopala Rao, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7090/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15626/2003:

The sole respondent is represented by M/s. Lawyer's Knit & Co., Advocates.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

It is further submitted to the Hon'ble Court that Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate has on 2nd September, 2014 filed an application for permission to change the name of Appellant. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 2 and is placed before the Hon'ble Court for its kind perusal.

C.A. No. 7087/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15628/2003:

There are five Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. Y. Rajagopala Rao, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 5 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7084/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15631/2003:

There are three Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. A. V. Rangam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 3 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed

alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7080/2003 @ SLP (C) Nos.15632-15693/2003:

There are three Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. A. V. Rangam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 3 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7086/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15633/2003:

There are three Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by Mr. A. V. Rangam, Advocate. Respondent Nos. 2 to 3 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7089/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15637/2003:

There are six Respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 is represented by M/s. MCLM & Co., Advocates. Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 are proforma respondents and service on them is effected but no one has filed Vakalatnama/Appearance on their behalf.

Service is complete.

It is submitted that Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate for the Appellant has on 12th February, 2014 filed additional documents alongwith an application for permission to file additional documents. The same has been placed alongwith appeal Paper Books as separate volume.

C.A. No. 7081-7082/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15368-15369/2003:

The sole common Respondent is represented by Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Advocate.

Service is complete.

C.A. Nos. 7098-7099/2003 @ SLP (C) Nos. 15695-15696/2003:

The common sole Respondent is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate.

Service is complete.

C.A. Nos. 7096-7097/2003 @ SLP (C) Nos.15692-15693/2003:

M/s Sriba Industries is common Respondent in both the appeals and is represented by Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Advocate.

Service is complete.

C.A. Nos. 7100-7101/2003 @ SLP (C) Nos. 15690-15691/2003:

The sole Respondent, which is common in both the matters, is represented by Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate.

Service is complete.

C.A. Nos. 7094-7095/2003 @ SLP (C) Nos.15688-15689/2003:

Service is complete on the sole respondent, M/s. HCL Apro Power Ltd., but no one has put is appearance on its behalf.

C.A. No. 7105-7106/2003 @ SLP (C) No. 15721-15722/2003:

The common sole respondent in both the appeals is represented by Mr. Umesh Kr. Khaitan, Adv.

Service is complete.

* * * * * * * * * * *

It is submitted to the Hon'ble Court that the service is complete on all the respondents in all the appeals mentioned above.

Common Original records in C.A. No.4569 of 2003 are available for the reference of the Hon'ble Court in the appeals above-mentioned.

The aforesaid appeals are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this office report.

Dated this the 9th day of March, 2016.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sharma, Advocate, Ch. 16.
2. M/s Suresh A. Shroff & Co., Advocate
   13, Abul Fazal Road, Bengali Mkt.New Delhi.
3. Mr. Vikas Mehta, Advocate, Ch. 59.
4. Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Advocate, Ch. No. 127
5. Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Advocate.
6. Mr. Y. Rajagopala Rao, Advocate, Ch. 103.
7. Mrs. D. Bharathi Reddy, Advocate, Ch. 63
8. Mr. E.C. Agarwala, Advocate, Ch. No. 48
9. Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Advocate
   305, Supreme Enclave, Mayur Vihar,
10. Mr. Rajan Narain, Advocate
    A-141, Ground Floor, Defence Colony, New Delhi.
11. Mr. A.V. Rangam, Advocate, Ch. 38
12. Mr. K.V. Mohan, Advocate, Ch. No. 70
13. Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Advocate
    11, Canning Lane, New Delhi.
14. Ms. Prabha Swami, Advocate, Ch. 126 (New)
16. M/s Lawyers Knit and Co., Advocate
    25, Bazar Lane, Bengali Market, New Delhi.
17. M/s MCLM & Co, Advocates.
18. Ms. K. Mamatha Choudhary, Advocate.
19. Mr. Umesh K. Khaitan, Advocate

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR