

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 238-244 OF 2004

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

P.N. NATARAJAN AND ORS.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for intervention and office report)

WITH

S.L.P.(C) NO. 28090 of 2008

(With appln. for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C)...CC NO. 6523 of 2009

(With appln. for c/delay in refiling SLP and office report)

S.L.P.(C) NO. 9188 of 2007

(With office report)

Date: 17/09/2009 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Appellant(s) Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.
Mrs. Anjani Aiyagari, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.
Mr. V.K. Verma, Adv. (NP)
Mrs Anil Katiyar, Adv. (NP)

For Respondent(s) Mrs. Indra Sawhney, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Mehta, Adv.
Mr. A. Henry, Adv.

Mr. T.L.V.Iyer, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Goodwilll Indeevar, Adv. (NP)

1

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

In the writ petition filed by some of the respondents, it was specifically urged that before reducing their pension, the concerned authority did not give them an opportunity of hearing.

We pointed out to Shri A.K. Srivastava that if

action oriented notice and opportunity of hearing was not given to the respondents before substantially reducing their pension, the action taken by the competent authority will have to be treated as a nullity. Upon this, Shri Srivastava made a request for adjournment to enable him to scrutinize the pleadings of the various writ petitions filed before the High Court, seek instructions from the concerned authorities and make a statement whether any notice and opportunity of hearing was given to the respondents before reducing their pension.

Shri Srivastava requests for four weeks' time.

List these petitions for further hearing after four weeks.

(N. ANNAPURNA)
COURT MASTER

(M.S. NEGI)
COURT MASTER