

ITEM NO.109

COURT NO.3

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1496 OF 2007

R.RAJKUMAR & ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

VENKATALAKSHMI

Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 22/02/2012

This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DALVEER BHANDARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPAK MISRA

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Jayanth Muthraj, Adv.
Ms. G Malavika, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh K. Sharma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Despite service of notice, the sole
respondent has chosen not to appear.

We have heard the learned counsel for the
parties.

The appeal is dismissed in terms of the
signed order leaving the parties to bear their
own costs.

C.A. No. 1496 of 2007

1

[KALYANI GUPTA]
COURT MASTER

[INDU SATIJA]
COURT MASTER

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE.]

C.A. No. 1496 of 2007

2

R. RAJKUMAR & ANR.

.....

APPELLANTS

VERSUS

VENKATALAKSHMI

.....

RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. Despite service of notice, the sole respondent has chosen not to appear.

2. During the pendency of the appeal, matter was referred for mediation to arrive at an amicable settlement of the disputes between the parties but as per the Report of the Mediator the efforts to bring the sole respondent before the learned Mediator failed. Even the Advocate who appeared for her in the High Court at Chennai did not respond.

3. We have heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

C.A. No. 1496 of 2007

3

4. We have gone through the impugned judgment and also perused the documents placed on record.

5. In our considered opinion, no interference is called for. The appeal being devoid of any merits is dismissed leaving the parties to bear their own costs.

.....J
[DALVEER BHANDARI]

.....J
[DIPAK MISRA]

NEW DELHI
FEBRUARY 22, 2012.