

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). 9519 OF 2026

IN

CIVIL APPEAL NO(S). 361 OF 2026

VENKATESHWARLU GUDURU & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SIDDHARDHA DEV BATHULA & ANR.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. An application for oral hearing of the review petition is rejected.
2. We have gone through the review petition as well as the grounds in support thereof. We do not find any error, much less apparent, in the order impugned, warranting its reconsideration.

3. The Review Petition shall stand dismissed accordingly.

.....J.
(VIKRAM NATH)

.....J.
(SANDEEP MEHTA)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 05, 2026.

ITEM NO.1002

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

R.P.(C) No. 9519/2026 in C.A. No. 361/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-01-2026
in C.A. No. 361/2026 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

VENKATESHWARLU GUDURU & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SIDDHARDHA DEV BATHULA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 57950/2026 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 05-05-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

By Circulation

UPON perusing the papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

An application for oral hearing of the review
petition is rejected.

The Review Petition shall stand dismissed in
terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand
disposed of.

(SONIA BHASIN)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILEY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

[Signed order is placed on the file]