

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Civil Appeal Nos 4334 of 2000@@  
CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC

Reji Kumar & Ors. ...Appellant (s)

Versus

Director of Health Services, ...Respondent(s)  
Kerala & ors.

With

Civil Appeal Nos. 776, 888 of 2001

C.A. Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2002  
(Arising out of SLP(C) Nos. 1098 to 1101 of 2002.)

C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2002 (@ SLP(C) 7659 of 2002)

C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2002 (@ SLP(C) 8633 of 2002)

C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2002 (@ SLP(C) 8675 of 2001)

C.A. Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ of 2002 (@ SLP(C) 12224-226/01)

O R D E R ~@@  
CCCCCCCC

Heard the learned counsel for the appellants as well as the learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

The impugned judgment of the Division Bench of the High Court dismissing the writ petition follows an earlier order of the said Division Bench in W.A. No. 2577/1998. The appellants have not even produced a copy of the said order of the Division Bench in the writ appeal and we fail to understand on what basis the impugned judgment could be assailed.

Be that as it may, the appellants were appointed as ...2

Junior Health Inspectors in Health Department, State of Kerala, for a fixed tenure of one year or till the regular hands/PSC hands join the duty, whichever is earlier.

The nature of appointment was, of course, purely temporary without conferring any right to the post. The appellants approached the High Court with a prayer that their services could be terminated only in accordance with Rule 9(a)(iii) of the Kerala State and Subordinate Services Rules, hereinafter referred to as "the Rules", which indicates that in the matter of termination the seniority should be taken into account.

The High Court, however, has dismissed the writ application. There is an interim order from this Court when



Director of Health Services,  
Kerala & ors.

...Respondent(s)

(With office report)

With

Civil Appeal Nos. 776, 888 of 2001

SLP(C) Nos. 1098 to 1101 of 2002.  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) 7659 of 2002  
(With prayer for interim relief and office report)

SLP(C) 8633 of 2002,  
(with office report)

8675/01  
(With appl(s) for stay and with office report)

SLP(C) 12224-226/01  
(With appl(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record with  
prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date :10/09/2002 The appeal(s)/matter(s) was/were called on for  
hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.B. PATTANAIK  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL

For Appellant (s) Mr. M T George, Adv.

Ms. Karthika S., Adv.  
Mr. N R Shonker, Ms. Lekha Sudhakaran, Adv.  
for M/s. TTK Deepak & Co.

Ms. Malini Poduval, Adv.  
Ms. Lansinglu Rongmei, Adv.

For Respondent (s)/ Mr. P V Dinesh, Adv.  
appearing parties Mr. Rajesh, Ms. Sindhu T.P., advs.

Mr. KMK Nair, Adv.  
Mr. Ramesh babu M.R., Adv.

2

Mr. K R Sasiprabhu, Adv.  
Mr. C K Sasi, Adv.  
Mr. Romy Chacko, Adv.  
Mr. A. Raghunath, Adv.  
Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel, the Court passed the following  
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....J

CCCCCCCCCCCC

After hearing learned counsel for the parties for about half an hour, the Court dictated the order. The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

CA Nos. 776, 888/01 SLP(C) Nos. 1098 to 1101/01@@  
CC  
SLP(C) Nos. 7659, 8633/02, 8675/01, 12224-26/01@@  
CC

Leave granted in the special leave petitions. All the appeals stand dismissed in terms of the order in CA. 4334/2000.

(J.S. Rawat)  
Court Master

(Suneet Bala Sharma)  
Assistant Registrar

(Signed order is placed on the file)