

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
IA No. 1 & 2 in CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 470 OF 2008

SECRETARY GEN.FAMILY PLANNING ASSN.&ORS. Appellant (s)

VERSUS

MUKESH SRIVASTAVA Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

WITH IA No. 1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO. 472 of 2008

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

IA No. 1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO. 473 of 2008

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

IA No. 1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO. 474 of 2008

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

IA No. 1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO. 475 of 2008

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

IA No. 1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO. 476 of 2008

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

IA No. 1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO. 477 of 2008

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

IA No. 1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO. 478 of 2008

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

IA No. 1 & 2 in Civil Appeal NO. 479 of 2008

(With appln(s) for restoration,c/delay in filing application for restoration and office report)

Date: 01/04/2013 These Appls, were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
(In Chambers)

For Appellant(s) Mr. Akshat Shrivastava, Adv.
Ms. Manjeet Kirpal, Adv.
Mr. Inderjeet Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Rajesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Vide order dated 8.11.2011, the appellants were directed to file the statement of case within a period of four weeks with the default clause that failure to file the statement of case would entail dismissal of the appeal.

Eventually, since the said direction was not complied with, the appeal stood dismissed. Hence, the instant application to restore the appeal along with an application to condone the delay of 107 days in preferring the application for restoration.

Accepting the reasons given in the applications, the applications are allowed as prayed for.

(NAVEEN KUMAR)
COURT MASTER

(S.S.R. KRISHNA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

IA No. 1 & 2 in CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 470 OF 2008

SECRETARY GEN.FAMILY PLANNING ASSN.&ORS. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

MUKESH SRIVASTAVA

Respondent(s)

WITH

I.A. Nos. 1 & 2 IN CIVIL APPEAL Nos. 472-479 of 2008

O R D E R

Vide order dated 8.11.2011, the appellants were directed to file the statement of case within a period of four weeks with the default clause that failure to file the statement of case would entail dismissal of the appeal.

Eventually, since the said direction was not complied with, the appeal stood dismissed. Hence, the instant application to restore the appeal along with an application to condone the delay of 107 days in preferring the application for restoration.

Accepting the reasons given in the applications, the applications are allowed as prayed for.

.....J.
(J. CHELAMESWAR)

New Delhi
April 01, 2013