

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
 RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
 CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6901 OF 2009
 BEFORE THE REGISTRAR SUNIL THOMAS

SHASHANK SHARMA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARANCHAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay, PERMISSION TO URGE ADDL. GROUNDS

Date: 16/04/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr.Brijesh Panchal,adv.
 Mr. S.P. Sharma,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr.Ashutosh Kr.Sharma,adv.
 Ms. Rachana Srivastava,Adv.

Mr.Anshu Priyanka,adv.
 Mr. T. Mahipal ,Adv

Mr. Mohd.Irshad Hanif ,Adv

Mr. Manish K.Bishnoi ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

Ld.counsel for the petitioner has filed an I.A. No.3 to condone the delay in filing the statement of case. Though the application states that the delay is barred by 9 days, office report mentions it as 91 days. Delay is explained by the Ld.counsel for the appellant in Court. Heard. Allowed.

Contd...2

ITEM NO.56

-2-

Statement of case is received. Respondents are granted 35 days time for filing the statement of case.
 List the matter on 6.07.2012.

(Sunil Thomas)
 Registrar

SB