

SLP(Crl.)No. 2754 OF 2000
ITEM No.23

Court No. 1

SECTION II
A/N MATTER

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 2754/2000
(From the judgement and order dated 24/04/2000 in SBCRB 914/2000
of The HIGH COURT OF RAJASTHAN AT JAIPUR)

MANOJ KUMAR SAHARAN

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

Respondent (s)

(With appln. for bail, appln. for exem. from filing surrender
certificate from Jail authorities and office report)
With

SLP(Crl.)No. 4638/2000

Date : 16/03/2001 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.C. LAHOTI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. SANTOSH HEGDE

For Petitioner (s) Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. adv.
In SLP 2754/2000 Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr. adv.
Mr. K.K. Lahiri, adv.
Ms. Ruby Singh Ahuja, adv.

In SLP 4638/2000 Mr. Prem Malhotra, adv.

For Respondent (s) Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, AAG.
Mr. A. Mishra, adv.
Ms. Anjali Doshi, adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

.....L.....I.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....J
.SP2

In the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the
respondent, it has been stated in paragraph 7 that majority
of prosecution witnesses, including the prosecutrix have
been examined and that only a few prosecution witnesses are
yet to be examined. The list of the material witnesses who
have been examined has been furnished in the said paragraph
and they number 12. It appears that their evidence was over
.....2/-

: 2 :

by December, 2000. Whether any of the remaining material
witnesses have been examined or not, there is no indication
from the record. Learned counsel for the respondent shall
state on affidavit how many more material witnesses, if any,
are still to be examined so far as the petitioner is

concerned. Let the information be furnished by next week.
List next week.

.SP1

(Neena Verma)
Court Master

(Prem Prakash)
Court Master